

Title IX Training:

An Integrated and Coordinated Approach

Presented By:

Maureen Holland, Member

Devon Riley, Member

Peter C. Lim, Counsel

The Institutional Response Group | Cozen O'Connor

Gina Maisto Smith, Chair

Leslie M. Gomez, Vice Chair

September 16 - 18, 2020





INTRODUCTION



Hello
my name is




Thank you to our hosts




FRAMING THE CONTEXT

Framing the Conversation




The Context


- Regulatory Framework
- Dynamics of Trauma & Sexual and Gender-Based Harassment and Violence
- Individual Culture, Climate, History, Resources, Policies, Procedures, Personnel and Values of the Institution




The Challenge of the Context




Note: Lists of report recipients and relevant laws not exhaustive.





Federal Regulatory Framework



Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972

- Prohibits sex discrimination in educational institutions that receive federal funds

The Jeanne Clery Act (1990)

- Requires reporting of crimes, timely warnings, education/prevention programs, and policies and procedures for sexual assault

The Violence Against Women Reauthorization Act of 2013


- Amends Clery to expand sexual assault requirements and include dating violence, domestic violence, and stalking; applies to all students and employees

Implementation Rubric


- Law
- Regulations
- Guidance
- Preamble and commentary
- OCR webinars, charts, blog
- Policy
- Higher education experience
- Institutional values



Evolution of Federal Guidance, Legislation and Enforcement Efforts



The Hierarchy



- Title IX

- Title IX Implementing Regulations (2020)

- 2011 Dear Colleague Letter (Rescinded)
- 2014 Q&A (Rescinded)
- 2017 Q&A (Rescinded)
- Preamble to Title IX Implementing Regulations

- 1997 Sexual Harassment Guidance
- 2001 Revised Sexual Harassment Guidance (Rescinded)
- Dear Colleague Letters
 - Bullying
 - Hazing
 - Title IX Coordinator (Rescinded)
 - Retaliation

- Resolution Agreements
- OCR aids and tools
- OCR webinars
- OCR blog

Recent Court Cases

“If credibility is in dispute and material to the outcome, due process requires cross-examination.”
Doe v. Baum 903 F.3d 575, 585 (6th Cir. 2018)

When a student accused of sexual misconduct faces severe disciplinary sanctions, and the credibility of witnesses (whether the accusing student, other witnesses, or both) is central to the adjudication of the allegation, fundamental fairness requires, at a minimum, that the university provide a mechanism by which the accused may cross-examine those witnesses.” *Doe v. Allee*, 242 Cal. Rptr. 3d 109, 136 (Cal. Ct. App. 2019)

In a DV case, the state court ruled, “...procedures were unfair because they denied Respondent a meaningful opportunity to cross-examine critical witnesses at an in-person hearing.” *Boermeester v Carry*, No. B290675, 2020 WL 2764406 at *1 (Cal. Ct. App. May 28, 2020)



“[N]otions of fairness in Pennsylvania law include providing the accused with a chance to test witness credibility through some form of cross-examination and a live, adversarial hearing during which he or she can put on a defense and challenge evidence against him or her.”
Doe v. Univ. of the Sciences, No. 19-2966, 2020 WL 2786840 at*5 (3d Cir. May 29, 2020)

The Courts on Due Process and Fundamental Fairness

Doe v. Brandeis University: 177 F.Supp.3d 561 (D. Mass. March 31, 2016).

Doe v. Regents of the University of California: 5 Cal. App. 5th 1055 (Cal. App. Ct. Nov. 22, 2016), review denied (Feb. 15, 2017).

Doe v. Claremont McKenna College: 25 Cal. App. 5th 1055, (Cal. Ct. App. 2018).

Doe v. Allee (USC): 30 Cal. App. 5th 1036, (Cal. Ct. App. 2019).

Doe v. Purdue University: 2:17-cv-00033 (U.S. District Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit, June 28, 2019)

2016

Doe. Rectors and Visitors of GMU: 149 F. Supp. 3d 602 (E.D. Va. February 25, 2016) Memorandum Opinion.

Doe v. University of Southern California: 146 Cal. App. 4th 221 (Cal. App. Ct. April 5, 2016).

2017

Doe v. Trustees of Boston College: 2016 WL 5799297 (D. Mass. October 4, 2016)

2018

Doe v. Baum: 903 F.3d 575 (6th Cir. 2018).

2019

Doe v. Rhodes College: 2:19-cv-02336 (Western Dist. Tennessee, June 14, 2019).

2020

Boermeester v. Carry: No. B290675, 2020 WL 2764406 at *1 (Cal. Ct. App. May 28, 2020).

Doe v. Univ. of the Sciences: No. 19-2966, 2020 WL 2786840 (3d Cir. May 29, 2020).

The Courts on Due Process and Fundamental Fairness

Doe v. Brandeis University: Basic fairness requires the university to provide an accused student with: (1) notice of charges, (2) the right to counsel, (3) the opportunity to confront the accuser, (4) cross-examination of evidence or witness statements, and an effective appeal.

Doe v. Regents of the University of California

Doe v. Claremont McKenna College: When the respondent faces a severe penalty and the case turns on credibility, the process must provide for a hearing where the respondent may question, if even indirectly, the complainant.

2016

Doe. Rectors and Visitors of GMU: A university provide an accused student with notice of the full scope of charges.

Doe v. University of Southern California: A university must provide an accused student with supplemental notice if the charges against the respondent change or expand.

2017

Doe v. Trustees of Boston College

2018

Doe v. Baum: When credibility is at issue, the Due Process Clause mandates that a university provide accused students a hearing with the opportunity to conduct cross-examination.

The Courts on Due Process and Fundamental Fairness

Doe v. Allee (USC): Fundamental fairness requires, at a minimum, that the university provide a mechanism by which the accused may cross-examine those witnesses, directly or indirectly, at a hearing before a neutral adjudicator with the power to find facts and make credibility assessments independently.

Doe v. Purdue University: Investigation report must be provided to the parties prior to the hearing and must include summaries of both inculpatory and exculpatory evidence.

2019

Doe v. Rhodes College: An accused student must be afforded the opportunity to question the complainant and review all relevant evidence prior to the hearing.

2020

Boermeester v. Carry: In a DV case, the state court ruled, "...procedures were unfair because they denied Respondent a meaningful opportunity to cross-examine critical witnesses at an in-person hearing."

Doe v. Univ. of the Sciences: Notions of fairness include providing the accused with some form of cross-examination and a live, adversarial hearing during which he or she can put on a defense and challenge the evidence.

THE CLERY ACT

The Clery Act (As Amended by VAWA)

Core Tenets:

- Governs a school's response to **sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence and stalking** (and other crimes)
- Applies to Clery-defined crimes reported to **campus security authorities** that occur **on Clery geography**
- Requires procedural and educational components that do not fully align with Title IX requirements
- Requires reporting of **crime statistics** through
 - Daily crime log
 - Annual security report
- Includes a duty to warn/**timely warnings**

VAWA: Prompt, Fair, and Impartial Investigation & Resolution

- **Prompt, fair, and impartial process** from the initial investigation to the final result
- Conducted in a manner consistent with the institution's policies and transparent to the accuser and accused
- The accuser and the accused have **equal opportunities** to have others present, including an **advisor of their choice**
- The accuser and accused are given **timely notice of meetings** at which one or the other or both may be present
- The accuser, the accused, and appropriate officials are given **timely and equal access to information** that will be used during informal and formal disciplinary meetings and hearings

VAWA: Prompt, Fair, and Impartial Investigation & Resolution

- Officials are appropriately **trained** and do not have a **conflict of interest or bias** for or against the accuser or the accused
- The proceeding is completed in a **reasonably prompt timeframe**
- Explicit provision noting that institutions may extend their reasonably prompt deadlines for **good cause** with written notice to the accused and accuser of the delay and the reason for the delay
- The accuser and the accused **receive simultaneous notification**, in writing, of the result of the proceeding, the rationale, sanctions, any available appeal procedures, any change to the results that occurs prior to final resolution and when results become final

THE NEW TITLE IX REGULATIONS

New Title IX Regulations

- 2033 page document issued by the U.S. Department of Education, Office for Civil Rights (OCR) on May 6, 2020
- Includes significant resource materials: a preamble, executive summary, overview of public comments, discussion of directed questions, regulatory impact analysis and other content
- Final regulations are located at page 2008-2033
- Official version (2082 pages) were released May 19, 2020
- Regulations must be implemented as of **August 14, 2020**

Regulations Formally Incorporate Sexual Harassment as a Form of Sex Discrimination

- Title IX obligations related to **sexual harassment as a form of sex discrimination** had not been formally addressed in the regulations
- “These final regulations impose, for the first time, **legally binding rules** on recipients with respect to responding to sexual harassment.”

Title IX Regulations issued May 6, 2020; Executive Summary, pp. 15-16

Regulations: “Legally Binding Obligations”

- “Because these final regulations represent the Department’s interpretation of a recipient’s legally binding obligations, **rather than best practices**, recommendations, or guidance, these final regulations focus on **precise legal compliance requirements** governing recipients.”

Regulations: “Best Practices”


- “These final regulations leave recipients the **flexibility to choose to follow best practices and recommendations** contained in the Department’s guidance, or similarly, best practices and recommendations made by non-Department sources, such as Title IX consultancy firms, legal and social sciences scholars, victim advocacy organizations, civil libertarians and due process advocates and other experts.”

Guidance

- Preamble
 - Explains the basis and purpose for the final rule
 - Serves a guidance function
- Preamble on Prior Guidance
 - “The 2017 Q&A along with the 2001 Guidance, and not the withdrawn 2011 Dear Colleague Letter, remain the baseline against which these final regulations make further changes to enforcement of Title IX obligations.”
 - “Title IX policies and procedures that recipients have in place due to following the 2001 Guidance and the withdrawn 2011 Dear Colleague Letter remain viable policies and procedures for recipients to adopt while complying with these final regulations.”
- OCR’s Policy Guidance Portal available at:
<https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/frontpage/faq/rr/policyguidance/index.html>

Title IX Regulations issued May 6, 2020; Executive Summary, p. 18


Balancing



Overview of Significant Provisions

- Jurisdiction & Scope
- Notice
- Formal Complaint & Dismissal
- Supportive Measures
- Emergency Removal
- Basic Requirements of Grievance Process
- Written Notice to Parties
- Consolidation
- Investigations
- Hearings
- Determination of Responsibility
- Appeals
- Informal Resolution
- Documentation
- Retaliation
- Training

Key Provisions: New Title IX Regulations



Impact of Final Regulations

What is (or Should be) the Same

- Intake and outreach process
- Supportive measures
- Neutral, impartial and trained implementers
- Investigative protocols
 - Notice
 - Opportunity to be heard
- Documentation

What is Significantly Different

- Jurisdiction/scope
- Live hearing
- Cross examination by the advisor
- Proponent of a statement must be subject to cross-examination
- Recipient must provide advisor

THE BIG THREE

Implementing Regulations

Regulations Promulgated in 1975

- Designation of responsible employee
- Complaint procedure of recipient
- Notification of policy

2020 Final Regulations

- Designation of coordinator
- Adoption of grievance procedures
- Dissemination of policy

Relevant Regulations Sections:

Title IX Coordinator: §§ 106.8(a) and 106.8(b)(2)(i)

Notice of Non-Discrimination: § 106.8(b)

Grievance Procedures: § 106.8(c)

Designation of Coordinator

- Each recipient must designate and authorize at least one employee to coordinate its efforts to comply with its responsibilities under this part, its responsibilities under this part, which employee must be referred to as the “Title IX Coordinator.”
- Any person may report sex discrimination, including sexual harassment (whether or not the person reporting is the person alleged to be the victim of conduct that could constitute sex discrimination or sexual harassment) . . .

Dissemination of Policy

- Each recipient must notify persons entitled to a notification under paragraph (a) of this section that the recipient does not discriminate on the basis of sex in the education program or activity that it operates, and that it is required by title IX and this part not to discriminate in such a manner.
- Such notification must state that the requirement not to discriminate in the education program or activity extends to admission (unless subpart C of this part does not apply) and employment, and that inquiries about the application of title IX and this part to such recipient may be referred to the recipient's Title IX Coordinator, or to the Assistant Secretary, or both.

Adopt Grievance Procedures

- . A recipient must adopt and publish grievance procedures that provide for the prompt and equitable resolution of student and employee complaints alleging any action that would be prohibited by this part and a grievance process that complies with § 106.45 for formal complaints as defined in § 106.30.
- A recipient must provide to persons entitled to a notification under paragraph (a) of this section notice of the recipient's grievance procedures and grievance process, including how to report or file a complaint of sex discrimination, how to report or file a formal complaint of sexual harassment, and how the recipient will respond.

SHIFT IN APPROACH & FRAMING PRINCIPLES

Framing Principles

1

“A recipient’s treatment of a complainant or a respondent in response to a formal complaint of sexual harassment may constitute discrimination on the basis of sex under title IX.”

2

“A recipient with actual knowledge of sexual harassment in an education program or activity of the recipient against a person in the United States, must respond promptly in a manner that is not deliberately indifferent.”

3

“A recipient’s response must treat complainants and respondents equitably by offering supportive measures . . . to a complainant, and by following a grievance process . . . before the imposition of any disciplinary sanctions or other actions that are not supportive measures . . . against a respondent.”

4

“A recipient is deliberately indifferent only if its response to sexual harassment is clearly unreasonable in light of the known circumstances.”

5

“If the Assistant Secretary finds that a recipient has discriminated against persons on the basis of sex in an education program or activity under this part, or otherwise violated this part, such recipient must take such remedial action as the Assistant Secretary deems necessary to remedy the violation.”

Framing Principles

1

“A recipient’s treatment of a complainant or a respondent in response to a formal complaint of sexual harassment may constitute discrimination on the basis of sex under title IX.”

Title IX Regulations issued May 6, 2020; § 106.45(a)

Framing Principles

2

“A recipient with actual knowledge of sexual harassment in an education program or activity of the recipient against a person in the United States, must respond promptly in a manner that is not deliberately indifferent.”

Title IX Regulations issued May 6, 2020; § 106.45(a)

Framing Principles

3

“A recipient’s response must treat complainants and respondents equitably by offering supportive measures as defined in § 106.30 to a complainant, and by following a grievance process that complies with § 106.45 before the imposition of any disciplinary sanctions or other actions that are not supportive measures as defined in § 106.30, against a respondent.”

Framing Principles

4

“A recipient is deliberately indifferent only if its response to sexual harassment is clearly unreasonable in light of the known circumstances.”

Title IX Regulations issued May 6, 2020; § 106.45(a)


Framing Principles

5


“If the Assistant Secretary finds that a recipient has discriminated against persons on the basis of sex in an education program or activity under this part, or otherwise violated this part, such recipient must take such remedial action as the Assistant Secretary deems necessary to remedy the violation.”

Title IX Regulations issued May 6, 2020; § 106.45(a)

Understanding Two Key Provisions



NOTICE




Key Provisions of Title IX Regulations issued May 6, 2020;




Notice

- Notice to the **Title IX Coordinator** or any official of the recipient who has **authority to institute corrective measures** on behalf of the recipient, or to any employee of an elementary or secondary school




Title IX Regulations issued May 6, 2020; § 106.30(a)

Notice



- **Actual knowledge**, not constructive notice or vicarious liability
 - Can come from personal observation, hearing about it from a complainant or third-party, receiving a written or oral complaint, or by any other means
- The mere ability or obligation to report sexual harassment or to inform a student about how to report sexual harassment, or having been trained to do so, does not qualify an individual as one who has authority to institute corrective measures on behalf of the recipient.

Title IX Regulations issued May 6, 2020; § 106.30(a)




Notice: Institutional Response

When a school has **notice**, the Title IX Coordinator must:


1. Promptly contact the complainant to discuss the availability of supportive measures
2. Consider the complainant's wishes with respect to supportive measures
3. Inform the complainant of the availability of supportive measures with or without the filing of a formal complaint
4. Explain to the complainant the process for filing a formal complaint.

Practical Considerations & Challenges



- Responsible Employee
 - Higher education institutions have the option to continue to designate responsible employees and require reporting
 - How should an institution decide whether to maintain or move away from responsible employee reporting?
- Centralized Reporting
 - Because responsible employee reporting is no longer required, how can institutions ensure they have necessary information to assess for repeat instances of sexual harassment by a person or within a group?
- Training and Resetting Expectations


JURISDICTION AND SCOPE



Key Provisions of Title IX Regulations issued May 6, 2020;



What is Prohibited Conduct?




Jurisdiction: Framing Principle

2

“A recipient with actual knowledge of sexual harassment in an education program or activity of the recipient against a person in the United States, must respond promptly in a manner that is not deliberately indifferent.”

Title IX Regulations issued May 6, 2020; § 106.45(a)


Jurisdiction: Education Program or Activity



- “**Education program or activity**” includes:
 - Locations, events, or circumstances over which the recipient exercised **substantial control** over both
 - the respondent and
 - the context in which the sexual harassment occurs, and
 - Any building **owned or controlled by a student organization that is officially recognized** by a postsecondary institution

Title IX Regulations issued May 6, 2020; § 106.44(a)


Jurisdiction: Who



- Title IX statute applies to **any person**, in the United States, on the basis of sex, who is excluded from participation in, denied the benefits of, or is subjected to discrimination **under any education program or activity** receiving federal financial assistance.
- **Program or activity and program** means all of the operations of—
 - A college, university, or other postsecondary institution, or a public system of higher education; or
 - A local educational agency (as defined in 20 U.S.C. 8801), system of vocational education, or other school system

Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972; § 20 U.S.C. 1681;
Title IX Implementing Regulations; § 106.2(h)

Jurisdiction: Where




- Applies only to sex discrimination occurring **against a person in the United States** in an education program or activity
 - “The Department reiterates that the ‘education program or activity’ limitation in the final regulations
 - does not create or apply a geographic test
 - does not draw a line between ‘off campus’ and ‘on campus,’ and
 - does not create a distinction between sexual harassment occurring in person versus online.”

Title IX Regulations issued May 6, 2020; § 106.8(d); Preamble at 649


Jurisdiction: On Campus

“[A]ll of the operations’ of a recipient (per existing statutory and regulatory provisions), and the additional ‘substantial control’ language in these final regulations, clearly include all incidents of sexual harassment occurring on a recipient’s campus.”




Title IX Regulations issued May 6, 2020; Preamble at 624

Jurisdiction: Off Campus




- “[T]he statutory and regulatory definitions of program or activity along with the revised language in § 106.44(a) clarify that a recipient’s Title IX obligations extend to sexual harassment incidents that occur off campus **if any of three** conditions are met:
 - if the off-campus incident occurs as part of the recipient’s **‘operations’** pursuant to 20 U.S.C. 1687 and 34 CFR 106.2(h);
 - if the recipient exercised **substantial control over the respondent and the context** of alleged sexual harassment that occurred off campus pursuant to § 106.44(a); or
 - if a sexual harassment incident occurs at an off-campus building **owned or controlled by a student organization officially recognized** by a postsecondary institution pursuant to §106.44(a).”

Jurisdiction: Course of Conduct



- “In situations involving some allegations of conduct that occurred in an education program or activity, and some allegations of conduct that did not, the recipient **must investigate the allegations of conduct that occurred in the recipient’s education program or activity**, and nothing in the final regulations precludes the recipient from choosing to **also address allegations of conduct outside the recipient’s education program or activity**.
- For example, if a student is sexually assaulted outside of an education program or activity but subsequently suffers Title IX sexual harassment in an education program or activity, then these final regulations apply to the latter act of sexual harassment, and the **recipient may choose to address the prior assault through its own code of conduct.**”


Jurisdiction: What



- Narrowed & expanded definition of sexual harassment
 - Quid pro quo
 - Unwelcome conduct determined by a reasonable person to be so **severe, pervasive, and objectively** offensive that it **effectively denies** a person equal access to the recipient's education program or activity
 - Inclusion of sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, and stalking as a form of sexual harassment

Title IX Regulations issued May 6, 2020; § 106.30(a)


Practical Considerations & Challenges



- Policy frameworks
 - How to incorporate non-Title IX conduct
 - How to address other forms of discrimination and harassment
- Coordinating with other conduct codes
 - When can you proceed under another code?
 - When is the jurisdiction determination made?
 - Threshold during initial assessment?
 - Charging decision following investigation
- What about retaliation?

BREAKOUT 1: JURISDICTIONAL ANALYSIS

SUPPORTIVE MEASURES



Key Provisions of Title IX Regulations issued May 6, 2020;




Framing Principles

3


“A recipient’s response must treat complainants and respondents equitably by **offering supportive measures** as defined in § 106.30 to a complainant, and by following a grievance process that complies with § 106.45 before the imposition of any disciplinary sanctions or other actions that are not supportive measures as defined in § 106.30, against a respondent.”

Offering Supportive Measures

- The Title IX Coordinator must promptly contact the complainant to:
 - Discuss the **availability** of supportive measures as defined in § 106.30,
 - Consider the **complainant's wishes** with respect to supportive measures,
 - Inform the complainant of the availability of supportive measures with or without the filing of a formal complaint, and
 - Explain to the complainant the process for filing a formal complaint.



Supportive Measures




- Non-disciplinary, non-punitive individualized services offered as appropriate, as reasonably available, and without fee or charge to the complainant or the respondent before or after the filing of a formal complaint or where no formal complaint has been filed.
- Designed to restore or preserve equal access to the recipient's education program or activity without unreasonably burdening the other party, including measures designed to protect the safety of all parties or the recipient's educational environment, or deter sexual harassment.

Title IX Regulations issued May 6, 2020; § 106.30(a)


Supportive Measures

- May include counseling, extensions of deadlines or other course-related adjustments, modifications of work or class schedules, campus escort services, mutual restrictions on contact between the parties, changes in work or housing locations, leaves of absence, increased security and monitoring of certain areas of the campus, and other similar measures.



Title IX Regulations issued May 6, 2020; § 106.30(a)


Supportive Measures



- Must maintain as confidential any supportive measures provided to the complainant or respondent, to the extent that maintaining such confidentiality would not impair the ability of the recipient to provide the supportive measures.
- The Title IX Coordinator is responsible for coordinating the effective implementation of supportive measures.


Title IX Regulations issued May 6, 2020; § 106.30(a)

Documentation



- Must maintain records of any actions, including any supportive measures, taken in response to a report or formal complaint of sexual harassment
- Must document the basis for its conclusion that its response was not deliberately indifferent, and document that it has taken measures designed to restore or preserve equal access to the recipient's education program or activity
- If a recipient does not provide a complainant with supportive measures, then the recipient must document the reasons why such a response was not clearly unreasonable in light of the known circumstances

Title IX Regulations issued May 6, 2020; § § 106.45(b)(10)(i) (ii)




Emergency Removal for Students

- Must undertake an individualized safety and risk analysis and determine that an immediate threat to the physical health or safety of any student or other individual arising from the allegations of sexual harassment justifies removal
- Must provide the respondent with notice and an opportunity to challenge the decision immediately following the removal

Title IX Regulations issued May 6, 2020; § 106.44(c)


Emergency Removal

- Where a respondent poses an immediate threat to the physical health or safety of the complainant (or anyone else), § 106.44(c) allows emergency removals of respondents prior to the conclusion of a grievance process (or even where no grievance process is pending), thus protecting the safety of a recipient's community where an immediate threat exist.



Title IX Regulations issued May 6, 2020; Preamble at 566


Emergency Removal



- The Department notes that the final regulations expressly allow a recipient to remove a respondent on an emergency basis and do not prescribe cross-examination as a necessary procedure during the post-removal opportunity to challenge the removal.
- Recipients may also implement supportive measures that restrict students' or employees' contact or communication with others.
- Recipients thus have avenues for addressing serial predator situations even where no victim chooses to participate in a grievance process.

Title IX Regulations issued May 6, 2020; Preamble at 1176-1177


Administrative Leave



- Nothing in this subpart precludes a recipient from placing a non-student employee respondent on administrative leave during the pendency of a grievance process that complies with § 106.45.
- This provision may not be construed to modify any rights under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 or the Americans with Disabilities Act.

Title IX Regulations issued May 6, 2020; § 106.44(d)

Practical Considerations & Challenges




- Limited scope allowable for emergency removal
 - Can you remove under code of conduct for lesser standard?
- What are the criteria for appropriate and reasonably available?
- What are measures to protect safety or deter sexual harassment?
- What supportive measures do you have to offer to a non-student/non-employee?
- Ensuring accurate documentation

BREAKOUT 2: SUPPORTIVE MEASURES

EFFECTIVE PRACTICES

Key Elements of Effective Practices



Key Elements of Effective Practices

- Title IX Coordinator
 - Independent
 - Appropriately resourced
- Coordinated multi-disciplinary response team
 - Coordination of information
 - Coordination of personnel
- Privacy v. confidentiality
 - Distinction between confidential resources and reporting options
 - Informed reporting
- Integration of reporting responsibilities:
 - Responsible Employee
 - Campus Security Authority
 - Mandatory reporter of suspected child abuse

Key Elements of Effective Practices

- Uniform policy and procedures for resolution:
 - Complainant autonomy/agency
 - Fair and impartial practices
 - Remedies-based options
 - Sanctions-based options
- Centralized reporting and review process
 - Consistent institutional responses
 - Tracking and monitoring of incidents and climate
- Trauma-informed investigations and practices
- Communication
 - Consistency and transparency
 - At the individual and community level
- Education, prevention and training programs

The Title IX Coordinator

- Coordinates the recipient's compliance with Title IX
- Title IX coordinator must have appropriate authority, access, autonomy, and resources
- Oversees all Title IX complaints
- Identifies and addresses any patterns or systemic problems
- Meets with students and employees as needed
- Should not have other job responsibilities that may create a conflict
- A school may designate more than one coordinator
 - Must have clearly delineated responsibilities
 - Must have titles reflecting supporting role

Personnel

- Individual
 - Personal preparation
 - Values-based approach
 - World class effort
 - Humility
 - Cultural competency
 - Warm-heartedness
 - Listen more, speak less
 - Be collaborative
- Structural
 - The gift of time
 - Tone at the top
 - Team building
 - Resources – budget, staffing, materials, professional development
 - Commitment and consistency
 - Clear expectations and enforcement
 - Development of compassionate compliance

Title IX Multi-Disciplinary Team

- Core stakeholders
 - Title IX Coordinator
 - Student conduct
 - Campus safety/police
 - Human resources
 - Dean of faculty
- Additional campus stakeholders
 - Counseling
 - Health center
 - Advocacy
- Community partners
 - Law enforcement
 - Prosecutor
 - Hospital/Medical Providers
 - Community crisis or advocacy centers
 - Rape Crisis Counselors
 - Domestic Violence Counselors

Policy Considerations

- Easily accessible, identifiable and locatable
- Uniform definitions and high level principles
- Consistent application across the institution
- Procedures may vary by respondent (student, staff, faculty, third party)
- Areas of concern:
 - Intersection between Title IX and Clery
 - Intersection with tenure processes
 - Intersection with collective bargaining agreements

Privacy vs. Confidentiality

- Ensure policies clearly identify reporting options and support resources both on and off campus
- Delineate confidential resources vs. non-confidential reporting options in policy and training
- Ensure all employees are familiar with Title IX reporting expectations
- Offer clear and easy to follow guidance about what happens when a report is received
- Foster a climate that encourages reporting by providing consistency in message, policy, procedure, and outcome


Confidential Resources

- Students or employees wishing to obtain confidential assistance may do so by speaking with professionals who are obligated by law to maintain confidentiality
- Confidential resources generally include medical providers, mental health providers, clergy, and rape crisis counselors
- Exceptions to confidentiality include:
 - Mandatory child abuse reporting
 - Tarasoff imminent risk of harm to self or others
 - State felony or sexual assault reporting


Confidential Resources vs. Reporting Options

- Confidential Resources
 - Medical services
 - HIPAA
 - Mental health/counseling
 - Clergy
 - Rape crisis counselor
- Structural Challenges
 - Employees with multiple hats, e.g., counselor and administrator
- Reporting Options
 - Emergency for safety, physical, or emotional
 - Dedicated campus access points
 - Title IX
 - Campus safety/police
 - Student conduct
 - Human resources
 - To any school employee
 - Anonymous
 - Law enforcement

Integration and Coordination



Central Review Process Multi-disciplinary Team




Centralized Review Process

- Coordination of information and personnel
 - Clearly delineated roles and responsibilities
 - Build in regular and open lines of communication
 - Sequence events in advance
- Separate support and advocacy from investigation and adjudication
- Design and use template communications
- Central tracking for patterns
- Documentation/records
- Ensure consistent implementation of:
 - Interim measures
 - Determination whether to proceed
 - Investigative practices
 - Sanctions
 - Community remedies
- Transparency in outcomes

Title IX Intake and Assessment

- Assess immediate safety and well-being
- Gather basic facts
- Notify of right to contact law enforcement and seek medical treatment
- Notify of importance of preservation of evidence
- Tend to Clery responsibilities:
 - Enter into daily crime log
 - Assess for timely warning
- Assess and implement interim measures
- Provide policies, process options, resources and supports
- Assess for pattern
- Ascertain complainant's wishes
- Discuss barriers to proceeding
- Evaluate individual vs. campus safety


FORMAL COMPLAINTS



Key Provisions of Title IX Regulations issued May 6, 2020;




Formal Complaint



- Document filed by a complainant or signed by the Title IX Coordinator
- At the time of filing a formal complaint, a complainant **must be participating in or attempting to participate in** the education program or activity of the recipient with which the formal complaint is filed

Title IX Regulations issued May 6, 2020; § 106.30(a)


Formal Complaint: Program or Activity



- The following may constitute “**attempting to participate**” in the recipient’s education program or activity:
 - Applying (or intending to apply) for admission
 - Indicating a desire to re-enroll if the recipient appropriately responds to sexual harassment allegations
 - Intending to remain involved in alumni programs
- “[The ‘education program or activity’ requirement] prevents recipients from being legally obligated to investigate **allegations made by complainants who have no relationship with the recipient**, yet still protects those complainants by requiring the recipient to respond promptly in a non-deliberately indifferent manner.”

Title IX Regulations issued May 6, 2020; Preamble, see pp. 225, 411, 629


Formal Complaint: Institutional Response



- Upon receipt of a **formal complaint**, the institution:
1. Must complete the actions required upon receiving notice, if not already completed,
 2. Must evaluate jurisdiction and required/discretionary dismissal,
 3. Should assess appropriate supportive measures for both parties,
 4. Should evaluate the need for any other measures, including emergency removal/administrative leave,
 5. Must initiate a grievance process that complies with § 106.45

Title IX Regulations issued May 6, 2020; § 106.30(a)


Formal Complaint: Required Dismissal



- **Must dismiss if:**
 - Conduct would not constitute sexual harassment even if proved,
 - Conduct did not occur in the recipient’s education program or activity, or
 - Conduct did not occur against a person in the United States.
- Such a dismissal does not preclude action under another provision of the recipient’s code of conduct


Title IX Regulations issued May 6, 2020; § 106.45(b)(3)

Formal Complaint: Discretionary Dismissal



- **May** dismiss the formal complaint or any allegations therein if:
 - A complainant notifies the Title IX Coordinator in writing that the complainant would like to withdraw the formal complaint or any allegations,
 - The respondent is no longer enrolled or employed by the recipient, or
 - Specific circumstances prevent the recipient from gathering evidence sufficient to reach a determination.

Title IX Regulations issued May 6, 2020; § 106.45(b)(3)




Dismissal of Formal Complaint


- Upon a dismissal required or permitted, the recipient must promptly send **written notice** of the dismissal and reason(s) therefor simultaneously to the parties
- Must offer both parties an **appeal** from a recipient's dismissal of a formal complaint or any allegations therein


Title IX Regulations issued May 6, 2020; §§ 106.45(b)(3) and 106.45(b)(8)

Practical Considerations & Challenges



- Criteria for when the Title IX Coordinator files the formal complaint
- Process for evaluating dismissal
- Appeal from dismissal
- Proceeding under other policies
 - Policy frameworks
 - When is charging under a code of conduct retaliation?
- Timing of analysis for dismissal






Key Provisions of Title IX Regulations issued May 6, 2020;



BREAKOUT 3: THE FORMAL COMPLAINT

BASIC REQUIREMENTS OF GRIEVANCE PROCESSES



Key Provisions of Title IX Regulations issued May 6, 2020;



Basic Requirements

- **Treat complainants and respondents equitably** by providing **remedies** to a complainant where a determination of responsibility for sexual harassment has been made against the respondent, and by following a **grievance process** that complies with this section before the imposition of any disciplinary sanctions or other actions that are not supportive measures as defined in § 106.30, against a respondent.



Relevant Regulations Sections:

Equitable Treatment: §§ 106.44(a) and 106.45(b)(1)(i)

Basic Requirements



- Require an objective evaluation of all relevant evidence
 - Including both inculpatory and exculpatory evidence
 - Credibility determinations may not be based on a person's status
- Implementers must be trained and free from conflict of interest or bias for or against complainants or respondents generally or an individual complainant or respondent

Relevant Regulations Sections:

Equitable Treatment: §§ 106.44(a) and 106.45(b)(1)(i)

Objective evaluation of all relevant evidence: § 106.45(b)(1)(ii)

Training and avoidance of conflicts or bias: § 106.45(b)(1)(iii)

Basic Requirements



- **Presumption that the respondent is not responsible** for the alleged conduct until a determination regarding responsibility is made at the conclusion of the grievance process
- Include reasonably prompt time frames for conclusion of the grievance process with permissible delay for good cause
- Describe the range (or list) of possible disciplinary sanctions and remedies


Relevant Regulations Sections:

Equitable Treatment: §§ 106.44(a) and 106.45(b)(1)(i)

Objective evaluation of all relevant evidence: § 106.45(b)(1)(ii)

Training and avoidance of conflicts or bias: § 106.45(b)(1)(iii)

Basic Requirements



- State whether the **standard of evidence** to be used to determine responsibility is the preponderance of the evidence standard or the clear and convincing evidence standard,
 - Apply the same standard of evidence for formal complaints against students as for formal complaints against employees, including faculty
 - Apply the same standard of evidence to all formal complaints of sexual harassment

Title IX Regulations issued May 6, 2020; §§ 106.45(b)(1)(vii) and 106.45(b)(7)(i)

Basic Requirements

- Include the procedures and permissible bases for the complainant and respondent to appeal
- Describe the range of supportive measures available
- Not require, allow, rely upon, or otherwise use questions or evidence that constitute, or seek disclosure of, **information protected under a legally recognized privilege**, unless the person holding such privilege has waived the privilege



Relevant Regulations Sections:

Appeal: §§ 106.45(b)(1)(viii) and 106.45(b)(7)(ii)(F)

Range of Supportive Measures: § 106.45(b)(1)(ix)

Waiver of Privilege: § 106.45(b)(1)(x)


Practical Considerations & Challenges



- How does the standard of evidence alignment impact:
 - Faculty codes
 - Staff procedures
 - Collective bargaining agreements
- Creating an evidentiary code

INVESTIGATIONS

Key Provisions: New Title IX Regulations



Investigative Principles

- Open-ended and thorough inquiry
- Equitable opportunities for the parties to participate
- The conduct of the investigation matters
- Separating intake/support from investigation
- Maintaining and reinforcing impartiality
 - Screening for conflicts of interest or bias
 - Attention to language and communications
- Trained and experienced investigators

Regulations: “Legally Binding Obligations”

- “Because these final regulations represent the Department’s interpretation of a recipient’s legally binding obligations, **rather than best practices**, recommendations, or guidance, these final regulations focus on **precise legal compliance requirements** governing recipients.”

Regulations: “Best Practices”


- “These final regulations leave recipients the **flexibility to choose to follow best practices and recommendations** contained in the Department’s guidance, or similarly, best practices and recommendations made by non-Department sources, such as Title IX consultancy firms, legal and social sciences scholars, victim advocacy organizations, civil libertarians and due process advocates and other experts.”

Setting the Stage - Investigations

Institutional Obligations



Parties' Opportunity to Participate




Overview

- Obligation to Investigate
- Basic Requirements of Grievance Processes
- Pre-Investigation Considerations
- Consolidation of Formal Complaints
- Investigation - Evidence Gathering
- Evidentiary Considerations
- Evidence Review
- Investigative Report
- Reasonably Prompt Time Frames

OBLIGATION TO INVESTIGATE

Resolution Process



Complainant Agency & Autonomy

- “The final regulations promote clarity as to recipient’s legal obligations, and **promote respect for each complainant’s autonomy**, by distinguishing between a complainant’s report of sexual harassment, on the one hand, and the filing of a formal complaint that has initiated a grievance process against a respondent, on the other hand.”
- “The Department acknowledges that **a recipient should respect the complainant’s autonomy and wishes** with respect to a formal complaint and grievance process **to the extent possible.**”

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020, Preamble, 85 F.R. 30282; 30219.

Reports vs. Formal Complaints

- The new regulations distinguish and separate a recipient's obligation to **respond to a report** of sexual harassment from a recipient's **obligation to investigate formal complaints** of sexual harassment
 - If students would like supportive measures but do not wish to initiate an investigation...they may make a report of sexual harassment.
 - If students would like supportive measures and also would like the recipient to initiate an investigation...they may file a formal complaint.

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; Preamble, 85 F.R. 30189

Reinforcing Agency & Autonomy

- Balancing a recipient's obligation to respond to instances of sexual harassment with a complainant's autonomy
 - A rigid requirement such as an investigation in every circumstance may chill reporting of sexual harassment...
 - A student may receive supportive measures irrespective of whether the student files a formal complaint...these final regulations encourage students to report sexual harassment while allowing them to exercise some control over their report.

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; Preamble, 85 F.R. 30190

The Obligation to Investigate

- Formal complaint:
 - A document filed by a complainant or signed by the Title IX Coordinator alleging sexual harassment against a respondent and
 - Requesting that the recipient investigate the allegation of sexual harassment
- Once a formal complaint is filed, a recipient **must** investigate the allegations in that complaint
 - The Department believes that where a complainant has chosen to file a formal complaint, or the Title IX Coordinator has decided to sign a formal complaint, the recipient must investigate those allegations regardless of the merits of the allegations. (emphasis in original)

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020 §106.30 Definitions and §106.45(b)(3)
Dismissal of a formal complaint; 85 F.R. 30574

Investigation Requirements



PRE-INVESTIGATION CONSIDERATIONS

Pre-Investigation Considerations

- Choice of Investigator
 - Internal or external professional
 - Sufficient training and experience
 - Free from conflict of interest or bias
- Investigative Protocols
- Template Communications
- Notice of Allegations
- Consolidation of Formal Complaints

Separating Support from Investigations

- Separate support/advocacy/intake functions from investigative/adjudicative functions to reduce potential for conflict of interest or perception of bias
- Conflation of roles can:
 - Impact thorough assessment of the facts
 - Create distrust/confusion by complainant
 - Give appearance of bias/lack of impartiality


Separating Support from Investigations

- Reinforce neutrality in language and communications
- Ensure sufficient resources for timely response
- Consider creative models for separation of intake from support from investigation from decision-making

Removal of Bias or Conflict of Interest

- “Section 106.44(c) does not preclude a recipient from using Title IX personnel trained under §106.45(b)(1)(iii) to make the emergency removal decision or conduct a post-removal challenge proceeding, but if **involvement with the emergency removal process results in bias or conflict of interest for or against the complainant or respondent, §106.45(b)(1)(iii)** would preclude such personnel from serving in those roles during a grievance process.”


Written Notice of all Proceedings



- Written notice of all hearings, investigative interviews or other meetings
- With sufficient time for the party to prepare to participate
- Notice must include:
 - Date, time, location of proceeding
 - Participants invited or expected to attend
 - Purpose of the proceeding

Title IX Regulations May 19 2020; §106.45(b)(5)(v) 85 F.R. 30424


Written Notice of Allegations



- Must provide written notice of the allegations.
 - Sufficient time to prepare a response before any initial interview
 - Sufficient details known at the time
 - identities of the parties, if known;
 - the conduct alleged to constitute sexual harassment; and
 - the date and location of the alleged incident, if known.

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; § 106.45(b)(2) 85 F.R. 30576

Written Notice of the Allegations



– Must state that:


- the respondent is presumed not responsible for the alleged conduct
- a determination regarding responsibility is made at the conclusion of the grievance process

– Must inform the parties:

- they may have an advisor of their choice
- they may inspect and review evidence gathered
- of a prohibition against knowingly making false statements or knowingly submitting false information

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; § 106.45(b)(2) 85 F.R. 30576


Written Notice of Allegations



- The notice of the allegations must:
 - Be provided with sufficient time for a party to prepare a response before an initial interview
 - While the initial notice must be sent “upon receipt” of a formal complaint, with “sufficient time” for a party to prepare for an initial interview, such provisions do not dictate a specific time frame for sending the notice, leaving recipients flexibility to, for instance, inquire of the complainant details about the allegations that should be included in the written notice that may have been omitted in the formal complaint.

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; §106.45(b)(2); Preamble 85
F.R. 30283

Supplemental Notice



- If during the investigation, the recipient decides to investigate allegations about the complainant or respondent that are not included in the original notice, the recipient must provide notice of the additional allegations to the parties whose identities are known.
- The Preamble makes it clear that any supplemental notice must be in writing.
 - Although § 106.45(b)(2) requires subsequent written notice to the parties as the recipient discovers additional potential violations...

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020 §106.45(b)(2)(ii); Preamble 85 F.R. 30283


Practical Considerations

- Checkpoints for additional policy violations
 - Post complainant interview
 - Post respondent interview
 - Post evidence review
- Procedural due process: “Notice”
- Consider similar checkpoints for mandatory dismissal of the formal complaint

BREAKOUT 4: NOTICE OF INVESTIGATION

CONSOLIDATION OF FORMAL COMPLAINTS


Consolidation of Formal Complaints



- A recipient **may** consolidate formal complaints as to allegations of sexual harassment against more than one respondent, or by more than one complainant against one or more respondents, or by one party against the other party, **where the allegations of sexual harassment arise out of the same facts or circumstances.**

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; §106.45(b)(4) 85 F.R. 30576


Consolidation of Formal Complaints



- The requirement for the same facts and circumstances means that the multiple complainants' allegations are so intertwined that their allegations directly relate to all the parties.

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020 Preamble 85 F.R. 30436


Consolidation of Formal Complaints



- The Department believes that recipients and parties will benefit from knowing that recipients have discretion to consolidate formal complaints...
- Intended to give “discretion” to consolidate formal complaints that arise “out of the same facts or circumstances and involve more than one complainant, more than one respondent, or what amount to counter-complaints by one party against the other.”

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; Preamble 85 F.R. 30291

Consolidation of Formal Complaints



- If the respondent is facing an additional allegation, the respondent has a right to know what allegations have become part of the investigation for the same reasons the initial written notice of allegations is part of a fair process, and the complainant deserves to know whether additional allegations have (or have not) become part of the scope of the investigation.
- This information allows both parties to meaningfully participate during the investigation, for example by gathering and presenting inculpatory or exculpatory evidence (including fact and expert witnesses) relevant to each allegation under investigation.

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; Preamble 85 F.R. 30283

Application to Specific Circumstances


- Multiple instances of a respondent engaging in misconduct towards the same complainant
- Multiple allegations by same complainant against same respondent
- Multiple allegations by different complainants against same respondent
- Respondent alleges complainant has engaged in past misconduct involving false reports

Practical Considerations

- Process for determining whether to consolidate formal complaints
 - Identify decision-makers
 - Identify criteria for consolidation
- Opportunity to contest consolidation?

EVIDENCE GATHERING


Burden of Gathering Evidence



- Ensure that the burden of proof and the burden of gathering evidence rests on the recipient and not on the parties
 - The recipient’s burden is **to gather evidence sufficient to reach a determination** regarding responsibility

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; §106.45(b)(5)(i) FN 562 .


Burden of Gathering Evidence



- Undertake a **thorough search for relevant facts and evidence** pertaining to a particular case, while operating under the constraints of conducting and concluding the investigation under designated, reasonably prompt time frames and without powers of subpoena.
- Such conditions limit the extensiveness or comprehensiveness of a recipient's efforts to gather evidence while reasonably expecting the recipient to gather evidence that is available.

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; Preamble at 30292.

Burden of Gathering Evidence




- The investigator is obligated to **gather evidence directly related to the allegations** whether or not the recipient intends to rely on such evidence (for instance, where evidence is directly related to the allegations but the recipient's investigator does not believe the evidence to be credible and thus does not intend to rely on it).

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; Preamble at 30248-49.

Opportunity to Participate


- Not restrict the ability of either party to discuss the allegations under investigation or to gather and present relevant evidence.



Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; §106.45(b)(5)(ii) ; 85 F.R. 30422-23.

BREAKOUT 5: EVIDENCE GATHERING

Witnesses & Evidence



- Provide an equal opportunity for the parties to present witnesses and evidence
 - Fact and expert witnesses
 - Inculpatory and exculpatory evidence

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; §106.45(b)(5)(iii) ; 85 F.R. 30576.

BREAKOUT 6: EVIDENCE GATHERING


Practical Considerations & Effective Practices

- Preparing for interview
- Interview protocols and templates for introduction, scope and conclusion
- Documenting interviews
 - Note-taking vs. recording
 - Use of two investigators
- Decision-points
 - Sharing interviews with the parties for feedback
 - Considerations regarding character witnesses
 - Guidance about expert witnesses
 - Compelling witness participation

Practical Considerations for Remote Interviews

- Developing rapport
 - Allow additional time for the interview
 - Conversational language and tone
 - Avoid distractions
- Privacy considerations
 - Ensuring a private setting
 - Facilitating the presence of advisor of choice
- Sharing documents


Advisor of Choice



- Provide the parties with the same opportunities to have others present during any grievance proceeding, including the opportunity to be accompanied to any related meeting or proceeding by the advisor of their choice, who may be, but is not required to be, an attorney.
- A recipient may establish restrictions on advisors' participation, as long as the restrictions apply equally to both parties.

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; §106.45(b)(5)(iv). 85 F.R. 30576


Restrictions on Advisor Participation



- We do not believe that specifying what restrictions on advisor participation may be appropriate is necessary, and we decline to remove the discretion of a recipient to restrict an advisor's participation so as not to unnecessarily limit a recipient's flexibility to conduct a grievance process that both complies with § 106.45 and, in the recipient's judgment, best serves the needs and interests of the recipient and its educational community.

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; Preamble 85 F.R.30298


Restrictions on Advisor Participation



- “Section 106.45(b)(5)(iv) (allowing recipients to place restrictions on active participation by party advisors) and the revised introductory sentence to § 106.45(b) (requiring any rules a recipient adopts for its grievance process other than rules required under § 106.45 to apply equally to both parties) would, for example, **permit a recipient to require parties personally** to answer questions posed by an investigator during an interview, or personally to make any opening or closing statements the recipient allows at a live hearing, so long as such rules apply equally to both parties.”

Title IX Regulations May 19 2020; Preamble at 30298.


Training of Advisors Not Required



- The final regulations do not require training for advisors of choice. This is because the recipient is responsible for reaching an accurate determination regarding responsibility while remaining impartial, yet a party's ability to rely on assistance from an advisor should not be limited by imposing training requirements on advisors, who by definition need not be impartial because their function is to assist one particular party.

Title IX Regulations May 19 2020; Preamble at 30333.

Training of Advisors Not Required



- To allow recipients to meet their obligations with as much flexibility as possible, the Department declines to require recipients to pre-screen a panel of assigned advisors from which a party could make a selection at a hearing, or to require provided advisors to receive training from the recipient.

Title IX Regulations May 19 2020; Preamble at 30340-41.

Practical Considerations & Effective Practices


- Process meeting to discuss policy, decorum, and expectations
- Requirement that advisors:
 - Review policy in advance
 - Acknowledge decorum expectations
 - Acknowledge privacy protections regarding documents
- Consider the importance of continuity in process re: advisor given requirement to provide an advisor at the hearing

EVIDENTIARY CONSIDERATIONS

Evidentiary Considerations

- Privileged Information & Records
- Relevance
- Prior Sexual History
- Prior or Subsequent Misconduct
- Directly Related Evidence
- Setting Evidentiary Rules


Privileged Information



- Not require, allow, rely upon, or otherwise use questions or evidence that constitute, or seek disclosure of, **information protected under a legally recognized privilege**, unless the person holding such privilege has waived the privilege

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; § 106.45(b)(1)(x) 85 F.R.30361

Privileged Records




- Recipient cannot access, consider, disclose, or otherwise use a party's records that are made or maintained by a physician, psychiatrist, psychologist, or other recognized professional or paraprofessional acting in the professional's or paraprofessional's capacity, or assisting in that capacity, and which are made and maintained in connection with the provision of treatment to the party, unless the recipient obtains that party's **voluntary, written consent** to do so for a grievance process under this section.

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; § 106.45(b)(5)(i) 85 F.R.30423


Relevance

- The final regulations do not define relevance, and the ordinary meaning of the word should be understood and applied.



Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; Preamble at 30247, FN 1018.


Relevance



- “While the proposed rules do not speak to
 - admissibility of hearsay,
 - prior bad acts,
 - character evidence,
 - polygraph (lie detector) results,
 - standards for authentication of evidence,
 - or similar issues concerning evidence,
- the final regulations require recipients to **gather and evaluate relevant evidence**, with the understanding that . . .

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; Preamble at 30247, footnotes omitted.

Relevance




- this includes **both inculpatory and exculpatory evidence**, and
- the final regulations deem questions and evidence about a complainant’s prior sexual behavior to be **irrelevant** with two exceptions, and
- preclude use of any information protected by a **legally recognized privilege** (e.g., attorney-client).”

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; Preamble at 30247, footnotes omitted.

BREAKOUT 7: EVIDENCE GATHERING


Prior Sexual History



- Questions and evidence about the complainant's sexual predisposition or **prior sexual behavior** are not relevant, unless such questions and evidence about the complainant's prior sexual behavior are offered:
 - To prove that someone other than the respondent committed the conduct alleged by the complainant, or
 - To prove consent, if the questions and evidence concern specific incidents of the complainant's prior sexual behavior with respect to the respondent.

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; §§ 106.45(b)(1)(iii) and 106.45(b)(6) 85 F.R.30461


Prior Sexual History



- Only applies to complainants
 - The Department reiterates that the rape shield language in this provision does not pertain to the sexual predisposition or sexual behavior of respondents, so **evidence of a pattern of inappropriate behavior by an alleged harasser** must be judged for relevance as any other evidence must be.

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; §§ 106.45(b)(1)(iii) and 106.45(b)(6);
Preamble 85 F.R.30353

Prior Sexual History: Motive



- The Department disagrees that the rape shield language is too broad. Scenarios described by commenters, where a respondent might wish to prove the complainant had a motive to fabricate or conceal a sexual interaction, do not require admission or consideration of the complainant's sexual behavior.
- Respondents in that scenario could probe a complainant's motive by, for example, inquiring whether a complainant had a dating or romantic relationship with a person other than the respondent, without delving into a complainant's sexual behavior; sexual behavior evidence would remain irrelevant in such circumstances.

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; §§ 106.45(b)(1)(iii) and 106.45(b)(6); Preamble at 30351.


Prior or Subsequent Misconduct

- The regulations do not prohibit the use of prior or subsequent misconduct
 - “Evidence of a pattern of inappropriate behavior by an alleged harasser” permitted if relevant
- Schools will need to determine if such conduct is:
 - Relevant
 - May be used in determining responsibility
 - May be used in sanctioning
- If so, will need to set criteria for consideration

Practical Considerations

- Prior or subsequent misconduct may be relevant to demonstrate:
 - Intent/knowledge/state of mind
 - Motive
 - Opportunity
 - Lack of mistake
 - Pattern
 - Identity
 - Information that is inextricably interwoven with the facts
- Consider prejudicial vs. probative value


Flexibility to Adopt Rules



- “Within these evidentiary parameters **recipients retain the flexibility to adopt rules that govern how the recipient’s investigator** and decision-maker evaluate evidence and conduct the grievance process (so long as such rules apply equally to both parties).
- **Relevance is the standard that these final regulations require**, and any evidentiary rules that a recipient chooses must respect this standard of relevance.
- For example, a recipient **may not adopt a rule excluding relevant evidence** because such relevant evidence may be **unduly prejudicial, concern prior bad acts, or constitute character evidence.**”

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; Preamble at 30248.


Evidentiary Rules Must Consider



BREAKOUT 8: EVIDENCE GATHERING

EVIDENCE REVIEW


Evidence Review



- “Provide both parties an **equal opportunity to inspect and review any evidence** obtained as part of the investigation that is **directly related to the allegations** raised in a formal complaint so that each party can meaningfully respond to the evidence prior to conclusion of the investigation.”

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; §§ 106.45(b)(5)(vi). 85 F.R.30411

Evidence Review




- “Recipient must send to each party and the party’s advisor, if any, **the evidence subject to inspection and review** in an electronic format or a hard copy, and the parties must have at least **10 days to submit a written response**, which the investigator will consider prior to completion of the investigative report.”

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; §§ 106.45(b)(5)(vi) 85 F.R.30576


Evidence Review

- Allowing parties the opportunity to inspect this broader universe of evidence will further each party's own interests by identifying evidence either overlooked by the investigator or erroneously deemed relevant or irrelevant.




Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; Preamble 85 F.R.30303

Evidentiary Levels for Inclusion



Directly Related




- Not defined in the regulations or the Preamble
 - The Department declines to define certain terms such as “evidence directly related to the allegations,” as these terms should be interpreted using their plain and ordinary meaning.
- “Directly related” aligns with the requirements in FERPA
 - The Department previously noted that the “directly related to” requirement in § 106.45(b)(vi) aligns with FERPA.
 - For example, the regulations implementing FERPA define education records as records that are “directly related to a student” pursuant to § 99.3.
- Left to the discretion of the school
 - [T]he school has some discretion to determine what evidence is directly related to the allegations in a formal complaint.

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; Preamble at 30304, 30428.


Directly Related

- [T]he universe of that exchanged evidence should include all evidence (inculpatory and exculpatory) that relates to the allegations under investigation, without the investigator having screened out evidence related to the allegations that the investigator does not believe is relevant.



Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020 §106.45(b)(5)(vi);
Preamble 85 F.R.30304


Directly Related vs. Relevant



- Evidence that is “directly related to the allegations” may encompass a broader universe of evidence than evidence that is “relevant.”
- The Department does not believe that determinations about whether certain questions or evidence are relevant or directly related to the allegations at issue requires legal training and that such factual determinations reasonably can be made by layperson recipient officials impartially applying logic and common sense.

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; Preamble at 30304, 30321.


Directly Related



- Redacting information within evidence (documents, interviews, medical records, etc.)
- May be redacted if:
 - Not directly related to the allegations
 - Privileged, or
 - Obtained without proper consent
- A recipient may permit or require the investigator to redact information ... such as information protected by a legally recognized privilege ... contained within documents ... that are directly related to the allegations, before sending the evidence to the parties for inspection and review.

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; Preamble 85 F.R.30304


Directly Related



- Imposing restrictions on dissemination or use
 - Recipients may impose on the parties and party advisors restrictions or require a non-disclosure agreement not to disseminate or use any of the evidence for a purpose unrelated to the Title IX grievance process.
 - As long as doing so does not violate the regulations or law.

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; Preamble 85 F.R.30304.


Directly Related



- Exception for evidence that is obtained illegally, such as a wiretap violation
 - If a recipient knows that a recording is unlawfully created under State law, then the recipient should not share a copy of such unlawful recording. The Department is not requiring a recipient to disseminate any evidence that was illegally or unlawfully obtained.

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; Preamble 85 F.R.30427.


Scope of Parties' Review



- The parties may make corrections, provide appropriate context, and prepare their responses and defenses before a decision-maker reaches a determination regarding responsibility.
- If relevant evidence seems to be missing, a party can point that out to the investigator, and if it turns out that relevant evidence was destroyed by a party, the decision-maker can take that into account in assessing the credibility of parties, and the weight of evidence in the case.


Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; Preamble 85 F.R.30305 & 30300

Recap of Evidentiary Levels for Inclusion



INVESTIGATIVE REPORT


Investigative Report



- Create an **investigative report** that fairly summarizes relevant evidence and
- Send to each party and the party’s advisor, if any, the investigative report in an electronic format or a hard copy, for their review and written response, **at least 10 days prior** to the determination of responsibility (hearing)
 - This opportunity allows the parties to “effectively provide context to the evidence included in the report” and to “advance their own interests for consideration by the decision-maker.”

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; §§ 106.45(b)(5)(vii); Preamble 85 F.R.30254, 30307, 30309


Investigative Report



- The regulations do not address the specific contents of the investigative report other than specifying its core purpose of summarizing the relevant evidence.
- The Department takes no position here on such elements beyond what is required in these final regulations; namely, that the investigative report must fairly summarize relevant evidence.


Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; §§ 106.45(b)(5)(vii); Preamble 85 F.R.30310.

Investigative Report




- We note that the decision-maker must prepare a written determination regarding responsibility that must contain certain specific elements (for instance, a description of procedural steps taken during the investigation) and so a recipient **may wish to instruct the investigator to include such matters in the investigative report**, but these final regulations do not prescribe the contents of the investigative report other than specifying its core purpose of summarizing relevant evidence.

Content for Written Determination



- Must issue a simultaneous written determination regarding responsibility, including
 - Identification of the allegations
 - Description of the procedural steps taken from the receipt of the formal complaint through the determination
 - Findings of fact supporting the determination
 - Conclusions regarding the application of the recipient's code of conduct to the facts
 - Rationale
 - Appeal procedures


Investigative Report: Findings?



- The Department does not wish to prohibit the investigator from including recommended findings or conclusions in the investigative report.
- However, the decision-maker is under an independent obligation to objectively evaluate relevant evidence, and thus cannot simply defer to recommendations made by the investigator in the investigative report.
- If a recipient chooses to include a credibility analysis in its investigative report, the recipient must be cautious not to violate § 106.45(b)(7)(i), prohibiting the decision-maker from being the same person as the Title IX Coordinator or the investigator.

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; §§ 106.45(b)(5)(vii); Preamble 85 F.R.30308 & 30436


Investigative Report: Findings?



- § 106.45(b)(7)(i) prevents an investigator from actually making a determination regarding responsibility.
- If an investigator's determination regarding credibility is actually a determination regarding responsibility, then §106.45(b)(7)(i) would prohibit it.

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; §§ 106.45(b)(5)(vii); Preamble 85 F.R.30436


Revisiting Relevance



- Fairly summarizes the relevant evidence
- Investigator may redact information from the report
 - Recipients may permit or require the investigator to redact from the investigative report information that is not relevant, which is contained in documents or evidence that is relevant.

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; §§ 106.45(b)(5)(vii); Preamble 85 F.R.30436


Investigative Report



- Allow parties to provide a written response to the investigative report
 - Recipients must also give the parties meaningful opportunity to understand what evidence the recipient collects and believes is relevant, so the parties can advance their own interests for consideration by the decision-maker.
 - The decision-maker is obligated to objectively evaluate all relevant evidence and the parties have the opportunity to argue about what is relevant (and about the persuasiveness of relevant evidence).

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; §§ 106.45(b)(5)(vii); Preamble 85
F.R.30309 & 30249


Investigative Report



- At least 10 days prior to the determination of responsibility (hearing)
 - Without advance knowledge of the investigative report, the parties will be unable to effectively provide context to the evidence included in the report.
 - A valuable part of this process is giving the parties (and advisors who are providing assistance and advice to the parties) adequate time to review, assess, and respond to the investigative report in order to fairly prepare for the live hearing or submit arguments to a decision-maker where a hearing is not required or otherwise provided.

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; §§ 106.45(b)(5)(vii); Preamble 85 F.R.30309 .

Investigative Report




- At least 10 days prior to the determination of responsibility (hearing)
 - The parties then have equal opportunity to review the investigative report; if a party disagrees with an investigator’s determination about relevance, the party can make that argument in the party’s written response to the investigative report and to the decision-maker at any hearing held.

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; §§ 106.45(b)(5)(vii); Preamble 85 F.R.30248-49

Practical Considerations & Effective Practices


- Use template format with consistent language and content across investigations
- Language: balanced, neutral and non-judgmental
- Avoid declarative credibility language
 - “Unreliable” vs. insufficient information
 - Recognize perspective of the parties
 - Comment on the evidence, not the parties
- Use of verbatim quotes
- Leave sufficient time for writing, editing, proof reading and review by a fresh set of eyes

Recap of Investigation Requirements



REASONABLY PROMPT TIME FRAMES


Reasonably Prompt Time Frames



- The grievance process must include:
 - reasonably prompt time frames for conclusion of the grievance process, including reasonably prompt time frames for filing and resolving appeals and informal resolution processes if the recipient offers informal resolution processes
 - a process that allows for the temporary delay of the grievance process or the limited extension of time frames for good cause with written notice to the complainant and the respondent of the delay or extension and the reasons for the action

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020 §106.45(b)(1)(v) 85 F.R.30522 & 30575


Reasonably Prompt Time Frames



- The grievance process must include:
 - reasonably prompt time frames for conclusion of the grievance process, including reasonably prompt time frames for filing and resolving appeals and informal resolution processes if the recipient offers informal resolution processes
 - a process that allows for the temporary delay of the grievance process or the limited extension of time frames for good cause with written notice to the complainant and the respondent of the delay or extension and the reasons for the action
- Good cause may include considerations such as:
 - the absence of a party, a party’s advisor, or a witness;
 - concurrent law enforcement activity;
 - the need for language assistance or accommodation of disabilities

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020 §106.45(b)(1)(v). 85 F.R. 30575

Reasonably Prompt Time Frames



- A recipient must resolve each formal complaint of sexual harassment according to the time frames the recipient has committed to in its grievance process.
- The Department believes that each recipient is in the best position to balance promptness with fairness and accuracy based on the recipient's unique attributes and the recipient's experience with its own student disciplinary proceedings, and thus requires recipients to include "reasonably prompt time frames" for conclusion of a grievance process that complies with these final regulations.

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020 §106.45(b)(1)(v); Preamble 85 F.R. 30269

TRAINING

Training


- A recipient must ensure that **Title IX Coordinators, investigators, decision-makers, and any person who facilitates an informal resolution** process, receive training on:
 - The definition of sexual harassment in § 106.30
 - The scope of the recipient's education program or activity
 - How to conduct an investigation and grievance process including hearings, appeals, and informal resolution processes, as applicable
 - How to serve impartially, including by avoiding prejudgment of the facts at issue, conflicts of interest, and bias
- A recipient must ensure that **decision-makers** receive training on:
 - Any technology to be used at a live hearing
 - Issues of relevance of questions and evidence, including when questions and evidence about the complainant's sexual predisposition or prior sexual behavior are not relevant, as set forth in paragraph (b)(6) of this section.

Training

- A recipient also must ensure that investigators receive training on:
 - Issues of relevance to create an investigative report that fairly summarizes relevant evidence
- Any materials used to train Title IX Coordinators, investigators, decision-makers, and any person who facilitates an informal resolution process, must not rely on sex stereotypes and must promote impartial investigations and adjudications of formal complaints of sexual harassment

SERVING WITHOUT CONFLICT OF INTEREST OR BIAS

Awareness of the Impact of Language



Identifying Our Own Biases

- What does sexual assault look like?
- Over-identifying with complainant or respondent
 - I would have...
 - If it was me...
 - That could have been me...
 - What were they thinking when...
 - What did they think was going to happen?
- Culture/diversity/world view

Diversity and Culture

- Sensitivity to language and bias in a variety of communities
 - LGBTQ+
 - Cultural differences
 - Race
 - Insular groups
 - 504/disability
 - Neurodiversity
- Reporting barriers
- Communication differences/impediments

Case Evaluation

- Nature of sexual and gender-based harassment and violence
 - Delay in reporting
 - Barriers to reporting and proceeding with formal action
 - Reluctance to report to law enforcement
 - Word-against-word credibility
 - Often involve the use of alcohol or other drugs
 - Often involve people who are known to one another
- Evaluate in the context of all available information

Disclosure

- A process where an individual reveals abuse or assault
- On-going, not a one time event
- Stages of Disclosure:
 - Denial
 - Tentative
 - Active
 - Recantation
 - Reaffirmation
- Triggers for Disclosure
 - Accidental – person's secret is found out
 - Purposeful – person makes decision to tell

Framing Difficult Questions

- Why frame?
- Difficult topics:
 - Alcohol or other drug use
 - Clothing
 - Body positions
 - How and whether consent was communicated

ALCOHOL, DRUGS AND INCAPACITATION

The Role of Alcohol

- Central nervous system depressant
- Impairs cognition and psychomotor skills
- Progressively impairs all body functions
- Decreases inhibitions
- Impairs perceptions
- May cause blackouts or loss of consciousness
- May cause memory loss
- Effects exacerbated when mixed with other drugs
- Intoxication breeds vulnerability
- A person may be less likely to think someone is trying to sexually assault him/her
- A person intent on harming another may not need to use physical force
- A person may not realize incident has occurred
- A person may delay in reporting for multiple reasons
- No toxicological evidence of BAC/impairment level due to delay in report

The Role of Alcohol

- 80% to 90% of sexual assaults on campus are acquaintance rapes and involve drugs or alcohol.¹
- “Nearly half of America’s 5.4 million full-time college students abuse drugs or drink alcohol on binges at least once a month.”²
- 90% of campus rapes are alcohol related.³

1. DOJ, National Institute of Justice, 2005.

2. National Center on Addiction and Substance Abuse at Columbia University 2007.

3. Bureau of Justice Statistics, 2000, National Commission on Substance Abuse at Colleges and Universities

Alcohol: Investigative Challenges

- Lack of memory
- Inability to give detail
- Person may have been unconscious or in and out of consciousness
- Delay in reporting because:
 - May not know event occurred
 - May not recognize it as lack of consent
 - Feeling of “contributory negligence”
 - Concerns over conduct policy consequences

Frame Questions Appropriately

- Be aware that questions about drugs and alcohol are often subject to misinterpretation
- Explain amnesty
- Commit to clarity on why you are asking
- Explain the reasons for your questions
 - Assessing for incapacitation
 - Evaluating the “lens” through which the party or witness observed the events (opportunity to see, hear, understand, and remember)
- Explain that you will ask similar questions of all witnesses
- Invite the witness to ask questions before you go further

Get Detailed Information

- Timeframe of consumption (first drink, last drink, spacing)
- Number of drinks
- For each drink:
 - Type (beer, wine, liquor – with specific brand, if possible)
 - Was it mixed with anything? Who mixed it?
 - How was it served? (Bar or restaurant will lead to more available information)

Get Detailed Information

- List of others present and when they were there
- Other factors that affect the impact of alcohol:
 - Food consumed before, during, and after and whether food intake was normal or abnormal for the person
 - Height and weight
 - Medications
 - Different sleep patterns
 - Illness
 - Low hydration
 - History of blackouts

Get Detailed Information

- Complainant's internal experience of their own intoxication (subjective)
 - Loss of consciousness/lack of memory – get the “bookends” of memory
 - Physical impairments – walking, standing, sitting, grasping, keeping head upright, ability to text, ability to remove one's own clothing, incontinence, vomiting
 - Cognitive impairments – dizzy, foggy, sleepy, giggly, hyperactive, sluggish, nonsensical
 - Verbal impairments – slurring, inability to talk, volume regulation
 - Any other effects

Get Detailed Information

- Others' observations of Complainant (objective)
 - Observations of Complainant's consumption – when, where, what, who else was there?
 - Physical impairments
 - Cognitive impairments
 - Verbal impairments
 - Any other effects

Get Detailed Information

- Other information that can establish timeline, assist in assessing level of impairment, and can provide corroboration of either party's account:
 - History of relationship between the parties
 - Witness's knowledge of Complainant's sober behavior
 - Parties' communications or interactions with each other (compare pre- and post-incident)
 - Parties' descriptions of the incident to others – context, content, demeanor
 - Text/social media messages sent before, during, and after the incident

Considerations

Avoid	Why?	Try this instead
Asking about intoxication on a scale (e.g. "from 1-10")	There is no universal understanding of what the numbers on the scale mean	Asking about the impacts of alcohol on a person physically, cognitively, verbally, and otherwise; Asking for specific observations regarding conduct
Committing to a determining a specific BAC	It is very difficult to pinpoint a person's BAC after the fact. Additionally, BAC is only one data point and does not correlate precisely to a person's subjective experience or objective indicia of intoxication/incapacitation.	Use BAC when it is available in medical records. Otherwise, avoid attempting to pinpoint the BAC and rely instead on the subjective and objective indicia of intoxication/incapacitation.
Failing to obtain or ignoring medical evidence or observations of first responders, when they are available	Such witnesses are (generally) sober, trained observers who are paying close attention to the parties and surroundings. Their observations are critical, but it may necessitate coordination with external law enforcement or medical personnel.	Be attendant to mentions of first responders or medical personnel and try to obtain as much information about them as you can. Witnesses may remember, for example, that the EMT was female and had short brown hair. Work with your local agencies to identify such personnel and ascertain whether they can be made available for an interview.
Failing to fully contextualize witnesses' observations through use of a timeline	Witness statements taken out of context do not help answer the critical question: whether the Respondent knew or should have known that the Complainant was incapacitated.	Create a visual timeline of events that shows the parties' alcohol consumption, witness observations, and the alleged incident. Circle or highlight the timeframe when the parties were in each other's presences.

Creating a Universal Timeline

- Using information gathered in the investigation, create a timeline that captures both parties' actions and show the timeframe when they were in the same place (below in blue)

Complainant	Source(s)		Respondent	Source(s)
Complainant and C "pre-gamed" at A's apartment. Drank 3 shots Jim Beam and ate chips and guacamole. Walked from A's apartment to second "pre-game" at B's apartment. Drank 2 shots of Ciroc Peach and threw up in B's sink.	C interview A interview B interview C's photos w/ date/time	8:00 PM	Respondent arrived at party by himself. Filled one 16-ounce cup with beer and drank it quickly.	R interview
		8:30 PM	Saw friends X, Y, Z. Z gave Respondent keys to his room where Z kept hard liquor. Respondent retrieved a 750 ml bottle of Fireball and drank approximately ¼ of it himself over the course of about an hour and a half. X and Y each had about 2 shot glasses full.	R interview X interview Y interview Z interview
		9:00 PM		
Complainant arrived at party with A, B, C. Complainant's friend D got her a 16-ounce cup of "jungle juice" which she drank slowly over the course of about an hour.	C interview A interview B interview D interview	9:30 PM		
		10:00 PM		
Complainant went upstairs to check out the view from the roof. As she was walking back downstairs, she took the last sip of her "jungle juice" and saw Respondent. Complainant texted her mom, "addfa."	C interview C's texts C's photos w/ date/time	10:30 PM	Respondent texted Z "thanks for the fireball. Let me know where I can meet you to give you your key back." Respondent saw Complainant coming down the stairs.	R interview R's texts
Complainant reported a complete memory loss from about 10:30 until the next morning.	C interview	11:00 PM	Complainant and Respondent went upstairs into the bathroom. Respondent's friend W walked in as they were kissing and undressing.	R interview W interview
Complainant's friend A saw her leaving the bathroom with her shirt on backwards. A escorted Complainant home.	A interview	11:30 PM	Respondent left the bathroom and texted Z "I just got laid!"	R interview Z interview R's texts

BREAKOUT 9: CREATE A UNIVERSAL TIMELINE

INVESTIGATIVE RESOURCES

Incident Response Checklist

- Introduction focusing on safety and wellbeing
- Communication regarding preservation of evidence
- Support with transportation to obtain medical services and/or law enforcement support
- Inform / discharge duties
 - Options
 - Protections
 - Services
 - Clery Act:
 - Importance of prompt complaint
 - Importance of gathering evidence
 - Title IX / DCL:
 - Confidentiality limitations
 - Facilitation of report to police

Incident Report Form

- Time and date of report
- Time and date of incident
- Location of incident
- Information about the Complainant:
 - Name
 - Sex
 - Affiliation
 - Residence
- Respondent:
 - Name (if known)
 - Relationship to Complainant
 - Sex
 - Number of Respondents
- Information about the alleged conduct:
 - Type of coercion/force
 - Physical injury
 - Penetration
 - Sexual contact without penetration
 - Reported to police

Investigation Checklist

- Complainant's name or anonymity requested
- Place of occurrence
- Nature of occurrence
- Time of occurrence
- Time of reporting
- Alcohol involved: Drugs involved
- Physical Injury
- Name of accused; known or unknown
- Other crimes evidence/priors
- Complainant's description of event
- Names of witnesses
- Interviews of all parties
- Prior contacts between complainant and accused
- School records
- Intimidation attempts
- Physical evidence:
 - Injury / Medical Evidence - records
 - Security Monitoring Records / Visitor Logs / Audio-Video recordings
 - Telephone records
 - Voicemail
 - Text / E-mail / Social Media
 - Clothing / Tangible Objects
 - Any other physical / forensic evidence
- 911 Tape
- Photographs of the scene
- Photographs of injuries
- Advised re: law enforcement report
- Advised re: preservation and medical treatment
- Advised re: counseling
- Concerns regarding safety of community
- Discharge Title IX responsibilities
- Discharge Clergy responsibilities
- Court / Cease & Desist Orders
- Protection Orders

Investigation Checklist: Reporter

- Reports are consistent over time?
 - Is the complainant's account consistent?
 - Is timeline consistent?
 - Do allegations change ? If so, is there a reasonable explanation?
 - Over time?
 - During therapy?
 - With different interviewers?
 - In terms of content?
- Circumstances at time of report?
 - Where?
 - To whom?
 - When?
 - Why?
 - Demeanor?
 - Corroborated by witness?
- Any change in behavior/demeanor/routine after alleged incident?
- Explore past relationship:
 - Whether and how long he or she had known the accused?
 - Circumstances of their meeting
 - Extent of any previous relationship
 - Details of any relevant prior sexual contact with respondent
- Circumstances at time of prior disclosure(s)?
 - Where?
 - To Whom?
 - When?
 - Why?
 - Demeanor?

Investigation Checklist: Reporter

- Overall credibility?
 - Cognitive impairment?
 - Evidence of psychosis?
 - Evidence of coaching?
 - Current situation impacted by results of conclusions?
- Demeanor?
 - At time of event?
 - At time of reporting?
 - As reported by other witnesses?
If so, identify witnesses.
 - In our interview?
- Secondary gain?
 - Financial?
 - Situational?
 - Occupational?
- Interests or bias?
- Details of description:
 - Central issues?
 - Peripheral issues?
- Corroboration?
- Do facts hang together? Why? Why not?


Investigation Checklist: Respondent

- Other acts/behaviors relevant to intent?
 - Evidence of substance abuse?
 - If so, is it admitted?
 - Evidence of impulse control issues?
 - If so, is it admitted?
 - Admission of physically inappropriate behavior?
 - Admission of sexually inappropriate behavior?
 - Evidence of fabrication in record (not limited to allegation)?
- Overall Credibility
 - Demeanor?
 - Interest or bias?
 - Corroboration?
 - Do facts hang together? Why or Why no?
- Any witness intimidation?
- Past History
 - Evidence of other misconduct or disciplinary action?
 - Theft/misappropriation?
 - Legal history?
 - Substance abuse?
 - How did the accused respond to prior interventions
 - Evidence of problematic behavior
 - Troubled relationships?
 - History of previous sanctions?
 - History of treatment/intervention of inappropriate or concerning behaviors?
 - Previous concerns re: protection of others?

Resources

- As investigator, develop and be prepared to refer to:
 - Incident Response Checklist
 - Incident Report Form
 - Investigation Checklist
 - Investigation Checklist: Complainant
 - Investigation Checklist: Respondent

OVERVIEW OF HEARING REQUIREMENTS




Let's Take a Poll

- Question 1: Prior to the new regulations, did your school use a hearing to resolve allegations of sexual misconduct? [Yes/No]
- Question 2: If your school used a hearing, were the parties permitted to appear at the hearing to provide testimony? [Yes/No]
- Question 3: If your school used a hearing, were the parties permitted to provide witnesses at the hearing? [Yes/No]
- Question 4: If your school used a hearing, were the parties permitted to question the other party and/or witnesses in some format? [Yes/No]
- Question 5: If your school used a hearing, did it include live cross-examination? [Yes/No]

ROLE OF DECISION-MAKER


Determine Relevance of Questions



- Before a complainant, respondent, or witness answers a cross-examination or other question, the decision-maker(s) must **first determine whether the question is relevant ...**

Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; § 106.45(b)(6)

Explain Decisions to Exclude Questions




- The decision-maker(s) must explain to the party proposing the questions **any decision to exclude** a question as not relevant.

Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; § 106.45(b)(6)


Apply the Standard of Evidence

- To reach [a] determination, the recipient must apply the standard of evidence described in paragraph (b)(1)(vii) of this section.



Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; § 106.45(b)(7)

Issue Written Determinations




- The decision-maker(s) ... must issue a simultaneous written determination regarding responsibility, including
 - Identification of the allegations
 - Description of the procedural steps taken from the receipt of the formal complaint through the determination
 - Findings of fact supporting the determination
 - Conclusions regarding the application of the recipient's code of conduct to the facts
 - Rationale
 - Appeal procedures

Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; § 106.45(b)(7)

Separate Decision-Maker


- The Department wishes to clarify that the final regulations **require the Title IX Coordinator and investigator to be different individuals from the decision-maker**, but nothing in the final regulations requires the Title IX Coordinator to be an individual different from the investigator.



Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; Preamble 85 F.R. 30372


Investigator May not Determine Responsibility

- § 106.45(b)(7)(i) prevents an investigator from actually making a determination regarding responsibility.



Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; §§ 106.45(b)(5)(vii); Preamble 85
F.R.30436


Decision-Maker Must Determine Responsibility



- Nothing in the final regulations prevents Title IX Coordinators from offering recommendations regarding responsibility to the decision-maker for consideration, but the final regulations **require the ultimate determination regarding responsibility to be reached by an individual (i.e., the decision-maker) who did not participate in the case as an investigator or Title IX Coordinator.**


Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; Preamble 85 F.R. 30372

Independent Obligation to Evaluate Evidence



- The Department does not wish to prohibit the investigator from including recommended findings or conclusions in the investigative report.
- However, the decision-maker is under an **independent obligation to objectively evaluate relevant evidence**, and thus cannot simply defer to recommendations made by the investigator in the investigative report.

Independent Obligation to Evaluate Credibility




- If a recipient chooses to include a **credibility analysis** in its investigative report, the recipient must be cautious not to violate § 106.45(b)(7)(i), prohibiting the decision-maker from being the same person as the Title IX Coordinator or the investigator.
- If an investigator's determination regarding credibility is actually a determination regarding responsibility, then §106.45(b)(7)(i) would prohibit it.


Practical Considerations & Effective Practices

- Choice of decision-maker(s)
 - Hearing panel vs. sole adjudicator
 - External professional vs. internal administrator
- Decision-maker on sanction
 - Can be same or different from decision-maker on finding
- Use of Hearing Coordinator?
- Whether to have investigator make recommended findings or include a credibility analysis

EXCLUSION OF STATEMENTS NOT SUBJECT TO CROSS-EXAMINATION




Exclusion of Statement



- If a party or witness does not submit to cross-examination at the live hearing, the decision-maker(s) **must not rely on any statement of that party or witness** in reaching a determination regarding responsibility; provided, however, that the decision-maker(s) cannot draw an inference about the determination regarding responsibility based solely on a party's or witness's absence from the live hearing or refusal to answer cross-examination or other questions.

Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; § 106.45(b)(6) 85 F.R. 30577

Exclusion of Statement



- [I]n the postsecondary context, **only statements that have been tested for credibility** will be considered by the decision-maker in reaching a determination regarding responsibility.
- Because party and witness statements so often raise **credibility** questions in the context of sexual harassment allegations, the **decision-maker must consider only those statements that have benefitted from the truth-seeking function of cross-examination.**

Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; Preamble 85 F.R 30345; 30348


Exclusion of Statement

- The prohibition on reliance on “statements” applies not only to statements made during the hearing, but also to **any statement of the party or witness** who does not submit to cross-examination.



Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; Preamble 85 F.R 30349


Exclusion of Statement



- Absent importing comprehensive rules of evidence, the alternative is to apply a **bright-line rule** that instructs a decision-maker to either consider, or not consider, statements made by a person who does not submit to cross-examination.
- The Department believes that in the context of sexual harassment allegations under Title IX, **a rule of non-reliance on untested statements is more likely to lead to reliable outcomes** than a rule of reliance on untested statements.
- If statements untested by cross-examination may still be considered and relied on, the benefits of cross-examination as a truth-seeking device will largely be lost in the Title IX grievance process.

Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; Preamble 85 F.R. 30347


Exclusion of Statement



- Reliance on party and witness statements that have not been tested for credibility via cross-examination undermines **party and public confidence** in the fairness and accuracy of the determinations reached by postsecondary institutions.
- This provision **need not result in failure to consider relevant evidence** because parties and witnesses retain the opportunity to have their own statements considered, by submitting to cross-examination.

Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; Preamble 85 F.R. 30347


Exclusion of Statement



- Probing the **credibility and reliability** of *statements* asserted by witnesses contained in such evidence requires the parties to have the opportunity to cross-examine the witnesses making the statements.
- Where a Title IX sexual harassment allegation **does not turn on the credibility of the parties or witnesses**, this provision allows the other evidence to be considered even though a party's statements are not relied on due to the party's or witness's non-appearance or refusal to **submit to cross-examination**.

Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; Preamble 85 F.R. 30349, 30345


Submit to Cross-Examination



- Commenters suggested making this provision more precise by replacing “does not submit to cross-examination” with “does not appear for cross-examination.”
- Commenters asserted that parties should have the right to “waive a question” without the party’s entire statement being disregarded.
- The Department appreciates the opportunity to clarify here that to “**submit to cross-examination**” means answering those cross-examination questions that are relevant.

Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; Preamble 85 F.R. 30345; 30349

Submit to Cross-Examination




- This provision requires a party or witness to “submit to cross-examination” to avoid exclusion of their statements; the same exclusion of statements **does not apply to a party or witness’s refusal to answer questions posed by the decision-maker.**
- If a party or witness **refuses to respond to a decision-maker’s questions**, the decision-maker is not precluded from relying on that party or witness’s statements.


Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; Preamble 85 F.R. 30349

BREAKOUT EXERCISE # 10

ADVISOR OF CHOICE



Title IX: Advisor of Choice




- Parties must have the same opportunities to ... be accompanied to any related meeting or proceeding by **an advisor of their choice**.
- The advisor may be, but is not required to be, **an attorney**.
- A recipient **may establish restrictions** on advisors' participation, as long as the restrictions apply equally to both parties.
- “[T]he role of an advisor is to assist and advise the party.”

VAWA: Advisor of Choice

- Provide the accuser and the accused with the same opportunities to have others present during any institutional disciplinary proceeding, including the opportunity to be accompanied to any related meeting or proceeding by the **advisor of their choice**
- **Not limit the choice of advisor** or presence for either the accuser or the accused in any meeting or institutional disciplinary proceeding
- However, the institution **may establish restrictions regarding the extent to which the advisor may participate in the proceedings**, as long as the restrictions apply equally to both parties

No Limit as to Conflicts of Interest




- The Department notes that the 106.45 (b)(1)(iii) prohibition of Title IX personnel having conflicts of interest or bias **does not apply to party advisors** (including advisors provided to a party by a post secondary institution as required under 106.45(b)(6)(i)) and thus, **the existence of a possible conflict of interest where an advisor is assisting one party and also expected to give a statements as a witness does not violate the final regulations.**

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; Preamble at 30299

ROLE OF THE ADVISOR AT HEARING

Role of the Advisor



- At the live hearing, the decision-maker(s) must permit each party's advisor to **ask the other party and any witnesses all relevant questions and follow-up questions, including those challenging credibility.**

Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; § 106.45(b)(6)

Advisor's Role at the Hearing


- Such cross-examination at the live hearing must be conducted directly, orally, and in real time by the party's advisor of choice and never by a party personally, **notwithstanding the discretion of the recipient under paragraph (b)(5)(iv) of this section to otherwise restrict the extent to which advisors may participate in the proceedings.**



Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; Preamble, 85 F.R. 30336, 30577.

Cross-Examination by Advisor


- [A] party's advisor may appear and conduct cross-examination **even when the party whom they are advising does not appear.**



Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; Preamble 85 F.R. 30346


Discretion as to Advisor's Role

- Section 106.45(b)(5)(iv) (allowing recipients to place restrictions on active participation by party advisors) and the revised introductory sentence to § 106.45(b) (requiring any rules a recipient adopts for its grievance process other than rules required under § 106.45 to apply equally to both parties) would, for example, **permit a recipient to require parties personally to answer questions** posed by an investigator during an interview, or **personally to make any opening or closing statements** the recipient allows at a live hearing, so long as such rules apply equally to both parties.




Discretion as to Advisor's Role

- We do not believe that specifying what **restrictions on advisor participation** may be appropriate is necessary, and we **decline to remove the discretion of a recipient to restrict an advisor's participation** so as not to unnecessarily limit a recipient's flexibility to conduct a grievance process that both complies with § 106.45 and, in the recipient's judgment, best serves the needs and interests of the recipient and its educational community.



Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; Preamble, 85 F.R. 30298.


Obligation to Provide an Advisor



- If a party does not have an advisor present at the live hearing, the recipient **must provide without fee or charge to that party, an advisor** of the recipient's choice, who may be, but is not required to be, an attorney, to conduct cross-examination on behalf of that party.

Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; § 106.45(b)(6)

Must Provide Advisor Even in Party's Absence



- [W]here one party does not appear and that party's advisor of choice does not appear, a recipient-provided advisor **must still cross-examine the other, appearing party "on behalf of" the non-appearing party**, resulting in consideration of the appearing party's statements but not the non-appearing party's statements (without any inference being drawn based on the non-appearance).

Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; Preamble 85 F.R. 30346


Appearance Without an Advisor



- The final regulations do not preclude recipients from adopting a rule that requires parties to inform the recipient in advance of a hearing whether the party intends to bring an advisor of choice to the hearing; but **if a party then appears at a hearing without an advisor** the recipient would need to **stop the hearing as necessary to permit the recipient to assign an advisor** to that party to conduct cross-examination.

Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; Preamble 85 F.R. 30342

Refusal to Conduct Cross-Examination




- A party cannot “fire” an assigned advisor during the hearing, **but if the party correctly asserts that the assigned advisor is refusing to “conduct cross-examination on the party’s behalf” then the recipient is obligated to provide the party an advisor to perform that function,** whether that means counseling the assigned advisor to perform that role, or stopping the hearing to assign a different advisor. ...

Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; 85 F.R. 30342

Party Cannot Conduct Own Cross-Examination

- If a party to whom the recipient assigns an advisor **refuses to work with the advisor** when the advisor is willing to conduct cross-examination on the party's behalf, then for reasons described above **that party has no right of self-representation with respect to conducting cross-examination**, and that party would not be able to pose any cross-examination questions.




Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; § 106.45(b)(6)
Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; 85 F.R. 30342


Practical Considerations & Effective Practices

- Process meeting to discuss policy, decorum, and expectations
- Considerations for advisors:
 - Review policy in advance
 - Acknowledge decorum expectations
 - Acknowledge privacy protections regarding documents
- Consider the importance of continuity in process re: advisor given requirement to provide an advisor at the hearing

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ADVISOR




Cross-Examination



- At the live hearing, the decision-maker(s) must permit each party's advisor to **ask the other party and any witnesses all relevant questions** and follow-up questions, including those challenging credibility.


Cross-Examination



- Such cross-examination at the live hearing must be conducted **directly, orally, and in real time** by the party's advisor of choice and never by a party personally, notwithstanding the discretion of the recipient under paragraph (b)(5)(iv) of this section to otherwise restrict the extent to which advisors may participate in the proceedings.


Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; § 106.45(b)(6)

Recap on Evidence Review



- “Provide both parties an **equal opportunity to inspect and review any evidence** obtained as part of the investigation that is **directly related to the allegations** raised in a formal complaint so that each party can meaningfully respond to the evidence prior to conclusion of the investigation.”


Availability of Evidence at the Hearing



- The recipient must make all such evidence subject to the parties' inspection and review [directly related evidence shared at the evidence review] available at any hearing to give each party equal opportunity to refer to such evidence during the hearing, including for purposes of cross-examination.


Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; § 106.45(b)(5)(vi)

Opportunity to Challenge Evidence



- Cross-examination in the § 106.45 grievance process is **intended to give both parties equal opportunity to meaningfully challenge the plausibility, reliability, credibility, and consistency of the other party and witnesses** so that the outcome of each individual case is **more likely to be factually accurate, reducing the likelihood of either type of erroneous outcome** (i.e., inaccurately finding a respondent to be responsible, or inaccurately finding a respondent to be non-responsible).


Questions to Advance a Party's Interest



- The Department clarifies here that conducting cross-examination **consists simply of posing questions intended to advance the asking party's perspective with respect to the specific allegations at issue**; no legal or other training or expertise can or should be required to ask factual questions in the context of a Title IX grievance process.

Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020, Preamble 85 F.R. 30319


Cross-Examination



- Only **relevant** cross-examination and other questions may be asked of a party or witness.
- Before a complainant, respondent, or witness answers a cross-examination or other question, the decision-maker(s) must **first determine whether the question is relevant ...**
- The decision-maker(s) must explain to the party proposing the questions **any decision to exclude** a question as not relevant.

Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; § 106.45(b)(6)


Determinations Regarding Relevance



- The final regulations **do not preclude a recipient from adopting a rule** (applied equally to both parties) that does, or does not, **give parties or advisors the right to discuss the relevance determination with the decision-maker during the hearing.**
- If a recipient believes that arguments about a relevance determination during a hearing would unnecessarily protract the hearing or become uncomfortable for parties, **the recipient may adopt a rule that prevents parties and advisors from challenging the relevance determination (after receiving the decision-maker’s explanation) during the hearing.**

Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; § 106.45(b)(6)
Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; 288 preamble 85 F.R. 30343

“Pause” to Reinforce Decorum



- We have also revised § 106.45(b)(6)(i) in a manner that **builds in a “pause” to the cross-examination process**; before a party or witness answers a cross-examination question, the decision-maker must determine if the question is relevant.
- This helps ensure that **content of cross-examination remains focused only on relevant questions** and that the **pace of cross-examination** does not place undue pressure on a party or witness to answer immediately.

Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; Preamble 85 F.R. 30323-24

Rules of Decorum



- The final regulations do not preclude a recipient from enforcing rules of decorum that **ensure all participants, including parties and advisors, participate respectfully and non-abusively during a hearing.**
- If a party's advisor of choice refuses to comply with a recipient's rules of decorum (for example, by insisting on yelling at the other party), the recipient may require the party to use a different advisor.

Rules of Decorum



- Similarly, if an advisor that the recipient provides refuses to comply with a recipient's rules of decorum, the recipient may provide that party with a different advisor to conduct cross-examination on behalf of that party.
- This incentivizes a party to work with an advisor of choice in a manner that complies with a recipient's rules that govern the conduct of a hearing, and incentivizes recipients to appoint advisors who also will comply with such rules, so that hearings are conducted with **respect for all participants**.

Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; Preamble 85 F.R. 30320


Training Not Required for Advisors



- The Department **declines to require training for assigned advisors** because the goal of this provision is not to make parties “feel adequately represented” but rather to ensure that the parties have the opportunity for their own view of the case to be probed in front of the decision-maker.

Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; Preamble 85 F.R. 30342


May Not Impose Training Requirements



- Recipients may not impose training or competency assessments on advisors of choice selected by parties, but nothing in the final regulations prevents a recipient from training and assessing the competency of its own employees whom the recipient may desire to appoint as party advisors.

Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; Preamble 85 F.R. 30342


Statements Made During Informal Resolution



- The Department appreciates commenters' concerns that comprehensive rules of evidence adopted in civil and criminal courts throughout the U.S. legal system apply detailed, complex rules to certain types of evidence resulting in **exclusion of evidence that is otherwise relevant to further certain public policy values (e.g., exclusion of statements made during settlement negotiations, exclusion of hearsay subject to specifically-defined exceptions, exclusion of character or prior bad act evidence subject to certain exceptions, exclusion of relevant evidence when its probative value is substantially outweighed by risk of prejudice, and other admissibility rules).**


Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; Preamble 85 F.R. 30337

THE LIVE HEARING REQUIREMENT




Live Hearing Required

- For postsecondary institutions, the recipient's grievance process **must provide for a live hearing.**



Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; § 106.45(b)(6)

Live Hearing Required




- [A] live hearing gives both parties the most meaningful, transparent opportunity to present their views of the case to the decision-maker, **reducing the likelihood of biased decisions, improving the accuracy of outcomes, and increasing party and public confidence in the fairness and reliability** of outcomes of Title IX adjudications.

Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; Preamble 85 F.R. 30359 .

Option to Use Technology


- Live hearings pursuant to this paragraph may be conducted with all parties physically present in the same geographic location or, at the recipient's direction, any or all parties, witnesses and other participants may appear at the live hearing **virtually, with technology** enabling participants simultaneously to see and hear each other.



Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; § 106.45(b)(6)


Virtual Hearing Considerations

- At the request of either party, the recipient must provide for the live hearing to occur with the parties located in separate rooms with **technology** enabling the decision-maker(s) and parties to **simultaneously see and hear** the party or the witness answering questions.



Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; § 106.45(b)(6)


Virtual Hearing Considerations



- The Department agrees with commenters who asserted that cross-examination provides opportunity for a decision-maker to assess credibility based on a number of factors, **including evaluation of body language and demeanor**, specific details, inherent plausibility, internal consistency, and corroborative evidence.

Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; Preamble 85 F.R. 30321;

Virtual Hearing Considerations




- The final regulations grant recipients discretion to allow participants, including witnesses, to appear at a live hearing virtually; however, **technology must enable all participants to see and hear other participants**, so a telephonic appearance would not be sufficient to comply with §106.45(b)(6)(i).

Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; Preamble 85 F.R. 30348

Flexibility to Adopt Rules


- Recipients may adopt rules that govern the **conduct and decorum** of participants at live hearings so long as such rules comply with these final regulations and apply equally to both parties.



Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; Preamble 85 F.R. 30315.


Flexibility to Adopt Rules

- Within these evidentiary parameters recipients retain the **flexibility to adopt rules** that govern how the recipient's investigator and **decision-maker** evaluate evidence and conduct the grievance process (so long as such rules apply equally to both parties).



Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; Preamble at 30248


Relevance Limitation on Flexibility



- **Relevance is the standard that these final regulations require**, and any evidentiary rules that a recipient chooses must respect this standard of relevance.
- For example, a recipient **may not adopt a rule excluding relevant evidence** because such relevant evidence may be **unduly prejudicial, concern prior bad acts, or constitute character evidence.**

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020; Preamble at 30248


Participation by Parties and Witnesses



- The Department understands commenters concerns that **respondents, complainants, and witnesses may be absent from a hearing**, or may refuse to submit to cross-examination, for a variety of reasons, including a respondent's self-incrimination concerns regarding a related criminal proceeding, a complainant's reluctance to be cross-examined, or a witness studying abroad, among many other reasons.


Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; Preamble 85 F.R. 30346

Participation by Parties and Witnesses




- In response to commenters' concerns, the Department has revised the proposed regulations as follows:
 - (1) We have revised § 106.45(b)(6)(i) to state that where a decision-maker must not rely on an absent or non-cross examined party or witness's statements, the decision-maker **cannot draw any inferences about the determination regarding responsibility based on such absence or refusal** to be cross-examined;
 - (2) We have revised § 106.45(b)(6)(i) to grant a recipient discretion to hold the entire hearing **virtually using technology** that enables any or all participants to appear remotely;

Participation by Parties and Witnesses



- (3) § 106.71 **expressly prohibits retaliation** against any party, witness, or other person exercising rights under Title IX, including the right to participate or refuse to participate in a grievance process;
- (4) § 106.45(b)(3)(ii) grants a recipient **discretion to dismiss a formal complaint**, or allegations therein, where the complainant notifies the Title IX Coordinator in writing that the **complainant wishes to withdraw** the allegations, or **the respondent is no longer enrolled or employed** by the recipient, or **specific circumstances prevent the recipient from gathering evidence** sufficient to reach a determination.
- These changes address many of the concerns raised by commenters stemming from reasons why parties or witnesses may not wish to participate and the consequences of non-participation.

Participation by the Complainant




- Where a grievance process is initiated because the Title IX Coordinator, and not the complainant, signed the formal complaint, the complainant who did not wish to initiate a grievance process **remains under no obligation to then participate in the grievance process**, and the Department does not believe that exclusion of the complainant's statements in such a scenario is unfair to the complainant, who did not wish to file a formal complaint in the first place yet remains eligible to receive supportive measures protecting the complainant's equal access to education.

Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; Preamble 85 F.R.30346

Transcript or Recording

- Recipients must create an **audio or audiovisual recording, or transcript**, of any live hearing and make it available to the parties for inspection and review.




Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; § 106.45(b)(6)


Practical Considerations & Effective Practices

- Impact of requirement that parties and/or witnesses participate in the hearing
 - Party vs. witness
 - Student vs. employee
- Decisions re: technology
- Recording versus transcription
- Procedures for non-postsecondary institutions

STANDARD OF EVIDENCE




Standard of Evidence



- [T]he recipient must apply the same standard of evidence to student and employee matters, using either the clear and convincing standard or the preponderance of the evidence standard.
- The recipient must apply the same standard of evidence to all formal complaints of sexual harassment.

Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; § 106.45(b)(6)


Standard of Evidence



- For reasons described above, the Department has determined that the approach to the standard of evidence contained in § 106.45(b)(1)(vii) and § 106.45(b)(7)(i) of the final regulations represents the **most effective way of legally obligating recipients to select a standard of evidence** for use in resolving formal complaints of sexual harassment under Title IX to ensure a fair, reliable grievance process **without unnecessarily mandating that a recipient select one standard over the other.**

Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; Preamble 85 F.R. 30388.

Standard of Evidence



- In short, under the final regulations the **same standard of evidence will apply to all formal complaints of sexual harassment** under Title IX responded to by a particular recipient, **whether the respondent is a student or employee.**

Title IX Regulations, May 19, 2020; Preamble 85 F.R. 30388.

Standard of Evidence



- Beyond a Reasonable Doubt
- Clear and Convincing Evidence
- Preponderance of the Evidence
- Some Evidence

Clear and Convincing*

- The evidence is highly and substantially more likely to be true than untrue
- The fact finder must be convinced that the contention is highly probable
- Proof which requires more than a preponderance of the evidence but less than proof beyond a reasonable doubt
- Clear and convincing proof will be shown where the truth of the facts asserted is highly probable
- Quality of the evidence, not quantity
- NOT beyond a reasonable doubt

* Based on common usage.

Preponderance of the Evidence*

- More likely to be true than not
- More probable than not
- The greater weight of the evidence
- Tipping the scale ever so slightly
- 51 %
- Based on the more convincing evidence and it's probable truth or accuracy, not on the amount
- Quality of the evidence, not quantity
- NOT beyond a reasonable doubt

* Based on common usage.

SANCTIONING


Sanctioning



- An equitable response for a respondent means a grievance process that complies with § 106.45 before the imposition of any disciplinary sanctions or other actions that are not supportive measures, as defined in § 106.30.
- The grievance process must describe the range of possible disciplinary sanctions and remedies.


Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020 § 106.44 (a); § 106.45(b)(1)(vii) 85 F.R. 30575, 30395

Discretion in Sanctioning



- The Department does not wish to dictate to recipients the sanctions that should be imposed when a respondent is found responsible for sexual harassment as each formal complaint of sexual harassment presents unique facts and circumstances.
- As previously stated, the Department believes that teachers and local school leaders with unique knowledge of the school climate and student body, are best positioned to make disciplinary decisions.


Educational Purpose



- Because the final regulations do not require particular disciplinary sanctions, the final regulations do not preclude a recipient from imposing student discipline as part of an “educational purpose” that may differ from the purpose for which a recipient imposes employee discipline.


Appeal of Sanction

- The Department notes that under the final regulations, whether the parties can appeal based solely on the severity of sanctions is left to the recipient's discretion, though if the recipient allows appeals on that basis, both parties must have equal opportunity to appeal on that basis.



EVALUATING CREDIBILITY

Evaluating Credibility



Credibility Factors

- Assessing credibility factors:
 - Demeanor
 - Interest
 - Detail
 - Corroboration
 - Common sense
- Testing inherent plausibility in light of the known information, relationships, and circumstances of the disclosure

Demeanor

- Demeanor may be informative, not determinative
- Assessing demeanor requires individual assessment as to how demeanor supports or detracts from overall reliability of information
- Fact-finders should not place undue reliance on demeanor as an indicator of candor or evasion.
- Demeanor is one factor to observe in the context of the totality of the information

Demeanor

- Complainant/respondent may be affected by emotional component of sexual assault allegations
- Range of behaviors and emotional reactions vary
- Elicit and consider information from witnesses as to demeanor after the reported incident, during the disclosure, and in response to the report
- Note changes in demeanor and explanations for significant changes
- Consider demeanor during proceedings

Interest

- If Respondent and Complainant know each other:
 - Understand the context and history of any prior relationships
 - Understand significant events or markers in relationship
- Explore effects of incident:
 - Emotional: fear, intimidation, worry, anxiety
 - Actual: financial, time, participation in the process
- Is there any particular animus/motive/ill will for/or against any party or witness?

Interest

- How will the party/witness be impacted by their participation in the process?
 - Was information provided “against” interests?
- How will the party/witness be impacted by any particular outcome?
 - Will information shared impact current or future relationships?

Detail

- Explore all details of event – before, during, and after
- Surrounding details – seemingly insignificant facts that may have greater import
- Sensory details – using the five senses to describe the physical reality of the crime
- Behavioral changes and responses
- Emotional cues and indicators
- Listen for “ring of truth” language on the periphery
- Evaluate panoramic view of events from all parties/witnesses

Corroboration

- Freeze frame and explore critical junctures
- Cross-reference Complainant and Respondent accounts with all other evidence and witnesses' statements
- Look to attendant details and behavior pre- and post-incident by both parties
- Focus on resolution of conflicts through believable evidence and common sense
- Outline case by issue and cross reference with all available evidence including timelines

Corroboration

- Consider other attendant details such as:
 - Size, age, power, authority and/or social status differential for Complainant and Respondent
 - Location of incident
 - Isolation of Claimant
 - Potential witnesses or reasons for lack of witnesses
 - Any change in either party's demeanor, personality, or routine after the incident
 - E.g., roommate noticed that Complainant began wearing baggy clothes, stopped attending class regularly, ceased eating
 - E.g., friends noticed Respondent became withdrawn and went home every weekend

Evaluating Changes in Account

- Explore all circumstances of each account
- Understand the who, what, and where of the interview
- Ask the “why” (without asking why); questions to explore:
 - State of mind
 - Life circumstances at the time
 - Perception of interviewer/process
 - Changes in interest or motivation
- Inquire directly about inconsistencies
- Attempt to reconcile where possible

Disclosure

- A process where an individual reveals abuse or assault
- On-going, not a one time event
- Stages of Disclosure:
 - Denial
 - Tentative
 - Active
 - Recantation
 - Reaffirmation
- Triggers for Disclosure
 - Accidental – person's secret is found out
 - Purposeful – person makes decision to tell

Synthesis

- Testing inherent plausibility of the conflicting accounts in light of the known information
- How does it all fit together?
- Does it make sense in the context of:
 - These individuals?
 - The setting?
 - The community?
 - The activity?
 - The relationships?

Integrated Analysis

Dynamics of Sexual Assault	Informed understanding of dynamics of sexual and gender-based harassment and interpersonal violence.
Demeanor	Did the witness speak in a convincing manner? Was he/she uncertain, confused, self-contradictory or evasive? How did he/she look, act and speak while testifying / reporting?
Interest / Motive / Bias	Did the witness have any interest in the outcome of the case, bias, prejudice, or other motive that might affect his/her testimony?
Detail	Use direct quotes from testimony or statements. How well could the witness remember and describe the things about which he/she testified? Was the ability of the witness to see, hear, know, remember, or describe those things affected by youth or old age or by any physical, mental or intellectual deficiency?
Corroboration	How well did the testimony of the witness square with the other evidence in the case, including the testimony of other witnesses? Was it contradicted or supported by the other testimony and evidence?
Common Sense	Does it all add up? (Gut check) Is there something missing?

Questions to Consider: Credibility Generally

- As judges of the facts, you are sole judges of the credibility of the witnesses and their testimony
- This means you must judge the truthfulness and accuracy of each witness's testimony and decide whether to believe all, or part, or none of that testimony
- The following are some factors that you may and should consider when judging credibility and deciding whether to believe or not to believe testimony

Questions to Consider: Detail

- Was the witness able to see, hear, or know the things about which they testified?
- How well could the witness remember and describe the things about which they testified?
- Was the ability of the witness to see, hear, know, remember, or describe those things affected by youth or old age or by any physical, mental, or intellectual deficiency?
- Were there inconsistencies or discrepancies in the witness's testimony?

Questions to Consider: Interest

- Did the witness have any interest in the outcome of the case, bias, prejudice, or other motive that might affect their testimony?
- Did the witness stand to receive any benefit from a particular outcome?

Questions to Consider: Demeanor

- Did the witness testify in a convincing manner?
- How did the witness look, act, and speak while testifying?
- How did the witness's nonverbal communications (posture, gestures, facial expressions, eye contact) match their verbal communications (voice, expression)?
- Was the testimony uncertain, confused, self-contradictory, or evasive?

Questions to Consider: Corroboration

- How well did the testimony of the witness square with the other evidence in the case, including the testimony of other witnesses?
- Was it contradicted or supported by the other testimony and evidence?

Questions to Consider: Common Sense

- Does it make sense?

Credibility Considerations from OCR

1997 Sexual Harassment Guidance

If there is a dispute about whether harassment occurred or whether it was welcome -- in a case in which it is appropriate to consider whether the conduct could be welcome -- determinations should be made based on the **totality of the circumstances**. The following types of information may be helpful in resolving the dispute:

- **Statements by any witnesses** to the alleged incident.

...

(continued on next slide)

Credibility Considerations from OCR

(1997 Sexual Harassment Guidance continued)

- **Evidence about the relative credibility** of the allegedly harassed student and the alleged harasser. For example, the level of **detail and consistency** of each person's account **should be compared** in an attempt to determine who is telling the truth. Another way to assess credibility is to see if **corroborative evidence is lacking where it should logically exist**. However, the absence of witnesses may indicate only the unwillingness of others to step forward, perhaps due to fear of the harasser or a desire not to get involved.

...

Credibility Considerations from OCR

(1997 Sexual Harassment Guidance continued)

- **Evidence that the alleged harasser has been found to have harassed others** may support the credibility of the student claiming the harassment; conversely, the student's claim will be weakened if he or she has been found to have made **false allegations against other individuals.**

...

Credibility Considerations from OCR

(1997 Sexual Harassment Guidance continued)

- Evidence of the allegedly harassed student's **reaction or behavior after the alleged harassment.**
 - For example, were there **witnesses who saw the student immediately after the alleged incident** who say that the student appeared to be upset?
 - However, it is important to note that **some students may respond to harassment in ways that do not manifest themselves right away**, but may surface several days or weeks after the harassment.
 - For example, **a student may initially show no signs** of having been harassed, but several weeks after the harassment, there may be significant changes in the student's behavior, including **difficulty concentrating on academic work, symptoms of depression, and a desire to avoid certain individuals and places at school.**

Credibility Considerations from OCR

(1997 Sexual Harassment Guidance continued)

- Evidence about whether the student claiming harassment filed a complaint or **took other action to protest the conduct** soon after the alleged incident occurred. However, failure to immediately complain may merely reflect a fear of retaliation or a fear that the Claimant may not be believed rather than that the alleged harassment did not occur.

...

Credibility Considerations from OCR


(1997 Sexual Harassment Guidance continued)

- Other **contemporaneous** evidence. For example, did the student claiming harassment write about the conduct, and his or her reaction to it, soon after it occurred (e.g., in a diary or letter)? Did the student tell others (friends, parents) about the conduct (and his or her reaction to it) soon after it occurred?

See [1997 Sexual Harassment Guidance](#)

APPEALS


Appeals



- A recipient must offer both parties an appeal from a determination regarding responsibility, and from a recipient’s dismissal of a formal complaint or any allegations therein, on the following bases:
 - Procedural irregularity that affected the outcome of the matter
 - New evidence that was not reasonably available at the time the determination regarding responsibility or dismissal was made, that could affect the outcome of the matter; and
 - The Title IX Coordinator, investigator(s), or decision-maker(s) had a conflict of interest or bias for or against complainants or respondents generally or the individuals complainant or respondent that affected the outcome of the matter.
- A recipient may offer an appeal equally to both parties on additional bases.

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020 §106.45 (b)(8)

Appeals



- As to all appeals, the recipient must:
 - Notify the other party in writing when an appeal is filed and implement appeal procedures equally for both parties;
 - Ensure that the decision-maker(s) for the appeal is not the same person as the decision-maker(s) that reached the determination regarding responsibility or dismissal, the investigator(s), or the Title IX Coordinator;
 - Ensure that the decision-maker(s) for the appeal complies with the standards set forth in paragraph (b)(1)(iii) of this section [regarding no conflict of interest or bias, and properly trained];
 - Give both parties a reasonable, equal opportunity to submit a written statement in support of, or challenging the outcome;
 - Issue a written decision describing the result of the appeal and the rationale for the result; and
 - Provide written decision simultaneously.

Title IX Regulations May 19, 2020 §106.45 (b)(8)

Use of Slides

- This PowerPoint presentation is not intended to be used as a stand-alone teaching tool.
- These materials are meant to provide a framework for informed discussion, not to provide legal advice regarding specific institutions or contexts.
- All rights are reserved to Cozen O'Connor.